

AFFIDAVIT
NUMBER 2P.

VEXATIOUS - CIVIL
WARRANT - JURISDICTION

OR
CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

JUSTICE HAMEN

JUSTICE DODDS-STREIFON

JUSTICE GROVERING
NITTLE.

ACCOMPANYING AFFIDAVIT (28)

28.

IN THE COUNTY COURT OF VICTORIA AT MELBOURNE AP- 16-1957

IN THE MATTER of an application under section 54 of the Vexatious Proceedings Act 2014

BETWEEN

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF VICTORIA

Plaintiff

And

BRIAN WILLIAM SHAW

MR R. HULLS

Defendant

"THE VEXATIOUS WRIT"
JUSTICE HANSEN - DOORIE STRETTON AND 'NETTIE'

Date of Document:

September 2016 / 13 DECEMBER

Solicitors Code: N/A

Filed on behalf of:

The Applicant

Telephone:

Prepared by:

Brian William Shaw B.OME

DX:

N/A

Ref:

N/A

I, Brian William Shaw, c/- of PO Box 800 Werribee Victoria do state and affirm the following:-

I. I STATE THAT THIS AFFIDAVIT EXHIBITS A 14 PAGE HANDWRITTEN SUMMATION OF THE VEXATIOUS WRIT INSTIGATED BY MR R HULLS TO PREVENT CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS BRINGING TO A VICTORIAN GRAND JURY UNDER SECTION 354 CRIMES ACT 1958 (VICTORIA)

[Signature]

McClennan

1/2.

2. The Removal of the British Army
Substitution of the Bourgeois at
Section 130 of the 'Oversight Act' (WA)
involves all Judicial officers
within Western Australia in the
criminal offence of Treason.
This extends into all Judicial
officers within Victoria.

3. The Purported Amendment of the
"Vexatious Proceedings Act" 2014 (Victoria)
is in conflict with Section 80 of
the Commonwealth Constitution and the
Suey v Unwired Air and Inland.

AFFIRMED BY:

[Signature]

AT:

Geelong

THIS

Thirteenth

DAY OF ~~SEPTEMBER~~ 2016.

December ^{CME} 21.

BEFORE ME:

Clemson

CARMEL CLEMSON JP
3/84 HAMILTON STREET
GISBORNE 3437
JUSTICE OF THE PEACE FOR VICTORIA
REG. NO 12356

The Vexatious writ. (MR HULLS)

1. The VEXATIOUS WRIT ISSUED BY MR R. HULLS THE THEN ATTORNEY-GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF VICTORIA WAS ISSUED EXCLUSIVELY TO CONSERVE AND PROTECT ALL OF THE PEOPLE INVOLVED IN THE DISCOVERY MASONIC CONSPIRACY (THE MASONIC CONSPIRACY).
2. TO ACHIEVE A VEXATIOUS ORDER MR HULLS HAD TO CONVERT CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS TO CIVIL, WHICH IS THE OPPOSITE OF THE PROCEEDINGS, PROCEDURE FAIRNESS AND NATURAL JUSTICE.
3. IN SIMPLER TERMS MR HULLS AND OTHERS DID ATTEMPT TO PERVERT THE COURSE OF JUSTICE AND IN SO DOING AS PERVERTED THE COURSE OF JUSTICE.

4. NATALIE BLOK.

The office for the - ATTORNEY - GENERAL
AND OFFICE for the VICTORIAN GOVERNMENT
SOURCE'S OFFICE IS LOCATED WITHIN
THE BUILDING OF THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT
AND AS SUCH FOR THE WRITATIONS WRIT
NATALIE BLOK WAS GIVEN THE CARE
AND CONTROL FOR THE WRIT.

5. THE BLOK AFFIDAVITS

NATALIE BLOK AFFIRMED TWO AFFIDAVITS

A. 28 NOVEMBER 2006

B. 23 JANUARY 2007.

THESE TWO AFFIDAVITS EXHIBITED
FOUR VOLUMES OF EXHIBITS.

b. JUSTICE HANSEN

JUSTICE HANSEN PRESIDED OVER THE HEARING.
ALL JUDICIAL OFFICERS INVOLVED BOTH
IGNORED AND CONCEALED ALL OF THE
DISCOVERED CRIMINAL OFFENCES.

7.
MR HULL A GRAND JURY DEFENDANT.

IN THE PERIOD 2006 - 2007 MR HULL
HAD BEEN PRESENTED TO THE MAGISTRATE'S
COURT AT MELBOURNE, BUT, USED THE
OFFICE OF PUBLIC PROSECUTOR BOTH
STATE AND COMMONWEALTH TO PERVERT
THE COURSE OF JUSTICE
ACCORDINGLY

THE LEGAL RIGHT TO CALL A GRAND JURY
WHERE "CROWN AUTHORITIES" FAIL OR
DECLINE TO DO THEIR PROPER DUTY
WAS ACTIVATED AND AS SUCH
MR HULL DOES HAVE THREE GRAND
JURY APPLICATIONS SITTING AT THE
FULL COURT SUPREME COURT THAT
HAVE NOT BEEN HEARD.

1. 2006 " FEBRUARY (JAMES) APPLICATION)
2. 2006 DECEMBER 2006
3. 2007 JANUARY 2007.

8. THE OMISSION.

THE AFFIDAVIT AFFIRMED BY NATALIE BLOK (THE SECOND ONE) WAS DATED 23 JANUARY 2007 — 6 DAYS BEFORE THE MAGISTRATE'S COURT HEARING DATED 29 JANUARY 2007 — NO AFFIDAVITS WERE SUPPLIED BY NATALIE BLOK IN RELATION TO THE DISCREPANCIES BEFORE THE COURT ON THE 29 JANUARY 2007 — NEITHER DID JUSTICE HANSEN GIVE ANY JUDICIAL NOTICE TO THIS OMISSION.

9. THE APPEAL.

100 AFFIDAVITS WERE FILED AND SEARCHED IN RELATION TO THE APPEAL.

THE TWO PRESIDING JUDGES WERE —

JUSTICE DODD — SERRAON

JUSTICE GEOFFREY NITTE.

10. THE CHALLENGE

AT THE BEGINNING OF THE HEARING JUSTICE DODDS-STANFORD WAS CHALLENGED. THIS PARTICULAR JUDGE WAS THE PRESIDING JUDGE IN THE NATIONAL BANK v WATKES CASE. A CONSEQUENCE OF THAT MATTER IS THE FACT THAT THE WATKES CRIMINALLY CHARGED THIS JUDGE AND PRESENTED HER TO THE MAGISTRATES COURT AT MELBOURNE WHERE THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR REVERSED THE PROSECUTION - ACCORDINGLY - THE WATKES LODGED DOCUMENTS FOR A GRAND JURY HEARING AT THE FULL COURT SUPREME COURT - WHERE THE APPLICATIONS REMAIN PENDING.

THE CHALLENGE WAS IN RELATION TO THIS FACT.

11. The Second Challenge

BECAUSE OF THE FIRST CHALLENGE, JUSTICE GREGORY NEFFUS WAS ALSO CHALLENGED FOR CONCEALING THE EXISTENCE OF THE VARIOUS GRAND JURY LODGMENTS (APPLICATIONS INVOLVING IN EXCESS OF 50 APPLICATIONS, IN ADDITION, TO SHARING A JUDICIAL BRANCH WITH ONE OF THE GRAND JURY ACCUSED).

12. The Refusal.

BOTH JUSTICES LEFT TO DENY THE TWO CHALLENGES - WHEN THEY RETURNED THEY DECLARED THAT THE HEARING WOULD PROCEED AND THAT THEY HAD APPLIED THE HIGH COURT TEST FROM THE EBNER - CHENATE MATTER

"A FAIR MINDING LAY OBSERVER SITTING IN THE BODY OF THE COURT"

13. The Lay Observers.

Within 3 Days of the Hearing Date
10 of the "Lay Observers" who were
sitting in the Court - Dismissed / Lodge
Grand Jury Applications with Affidavits
Against Both Judges.

These Applications Remain Pending, —
But, Have Been Concerned.

14. The Order.

The Order upheld the Hansen Order —
Of the 100 Affidavits Before the Court
All were Ignored — Not One Affidavit
was dealt with by due process.

15. The Two Judges

A. In the period after this hearing,
Justice DODD-STRETON became a
Federal Court Judge

B. Justice GREGORY NETTLE became
a High Court Judge.

16. THE HIGH COURT JUDGES (7).

IN THE PERIOD 2006-2007 THERE WERE 40 DEFENDANTS PRESENTED TO THE MAGISTRATES COURT AT MELBOURNE. THIS INCLUDED THE SKURN HIGH COURT JUDGES WHO WERE AT THAT TIME SITTING HIGH COURT JUDGES.

ALL SEVEN DO HAVE GRAND JURY APPLICATIONS LODGED AT THE FULL COURT SUPREME COURT WHERE THEY HAVE BEEN CONSIDERED, BUT, REMAIN PENDING

17. THE CURRISON PETITION (ONE NATION SENATOR)

THE CURRISON PETITION HEARD BY THE FULL BENCH OF THE HIGH COURT DURING DECEMBER 2016 CONCEALED THE REAL FACTS FROM THE HEARING. THE REAL FACTS ARE REVEALED IN THREE SETS OF DOCUMENTS.

(* FIVE JUDGES JUSTICE NETTLE WAS ONE)

1. The Grand Jury lodgements (All)
That are sitting at the Full Court
Supreme Court of Victoria.

2. A Document involving 112 DEFENDANTS
in two Criminal Courts.

A. Fraud on the Elector.

B. Misdemeanor of Treason.

(i) This Particular Document was before
(Justice Mc Donald) (Supreme Court Victoria)
on the 10th November 2014.

Justice Mc Donald Refused
the Application to file the charges.

(ii) The same Document was lodged
with the Federal Court at
Melbourne. This Particular Court
(Tina Luxton) has returned
the Document.

3. A CIVIL WRIT

SHAW v AUSTRALIAN ELECTORAL COMMISSION
CONSISTS OF 300 PAGES
WITH 47 GROUNDS.

THE GROUNDS SET OUT ALL THE FACTS.

THE FEDERAL COURT IS REFUSING
TO ACCEPT THIS WRIT FOR FILING
AND DUE PROCESS.

18. THE CUMSTON PETITION (HIGH COURT.)

THE PETITIONER IS MR BRUCE BIEU
MR R. CUMSTON IS THE SENATOR (ONE NATION)
UNDER CHALLENGE.

BOTH PARTIES HAVE THE DOCUMENTS
REFERRED TO HEARING, BUT, HAVE
NOT FILED THE DOCUMENTS INTO THE
MATTER, NOR LOGGED THEM UNDER SECTION 47
COMMONWEALTH
CONSTITUTION ACT.

19. PAULINA HANSEN

THIS PARTICULAR LADY RECEIVED DOCUMENTS
~ 2 AND 3' BY REGISTERED POST DURING
SEPTEMBER 2016 AND CONCERNED THEM.

10/14

20. DERRYN HINCH

This PARTICULAR MAN RECEIVED DOCUMENTS ON TWO OCCASIONS BY REGISTERED POST AND CONCEALED THEM.

21. SPEAKER AND PRESIDENT

BOTH THE SPEAKER AND PRESIDENT OF THE TWO HOUSES OF THE COMMONWEALTH PARLIAMENT RECEIVED DOCUMENTS 2 + 3 BY REGISTERED POST DURING SEPTEMBER 2016 AND CONCEALED THEM.

22. COMMONWEALTH CONSTITUTION. SECTION 97.

SECTION 97 - DISPUTED ELECTION.

THE ABOVE MENTIONED PEOPLE DID RECEIVE THESE DOCUMENTS.

THEY WERE GIVEN TO THEM IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 97 AND AS SUCH

THE DOCUMENTS SHOULD HAVE BEEN

FILED FOR RESOLUTION TO BE LOGGED

INTO THE HIGH COURT AFTER THE PARLIAMENTS

(BOTH HOUSES) FORMING THE RESOLUTION

11/14

23. ATTAINED OF TREASON

THOSE WORDS 'ATTAINED OF TREASON'
APPEAR AT SECTION 44 (ii) OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA,
AND AT THE SAME TIME, - PICK UP THE
WORDS "SHALL BE INCAPABLE OF BEING
CHOSEN" AT THE END OF SECTION 44

24. THE OFFENCE OF TREASON.

IN SIMPLE TERMS FOR A GRAND JURY -
TREASON IS "BREACH OF ALLEGIANCE"

1. BREACH OF ALLEGIANCE .
2. TREASON CAN ONLY BE INDICTED
BY A GRAND JURY
3. THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS ACCESSORIES
ALL ARE PRINCIPAL .
4. THE TWIN OFFENCE IS "MISPRISON OF TREASON"

25. Governor Substituted Himself, ^{Invalidating}
Writs.

When the Governor of the State of
Western Australia removed the Queen
and substituted himself - he effectively
invalidates all election writs
after this substitution, state and
commonwealth.

26. The Omission (MR WARWICK GATLEY)

The WEST AUSTRALIAN Election Commission
(MR WARWICK GATLEY the then Deputy
Commissioner) failed to call
and conduct a state referendum
in accordance with Section 73(2)
of the WEST AUSTRALIAN Constitution.

Such requirement would have
activated the Commonwealth

Referendum Requirement because

it involves the Queen - A STATE

Governor and Attorney General, —

[All Referendum Requirements]
were omitted.

27. The Consequence of the Omission

1. INVALID ACTS WERE CREATED
2. INVALID WRITS (ELECTION) WERE ISSUED,
3. THE PEOPLE WERE LEFT OUT,
4. THE PEOPLE VOTED IN AN INVALID ELECTION/S.
5. THE CONDUCT WAS MISLEADING AND DECEITFUL,
6. CRIMINAL OFFENCES WERE COMMITTED AND CONTINUE TO BE COMMITTED.

28. Three Principal "OFFENDERS"

1. MR R HULL (FORMER ATTORNEY GENERAL)
2. MR J McGINN (" " ")
3. MR DANIAN BUBB

FORMER DIRECTOR
COMMONWEALTH
PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS

WERE RESPONSIBLE FOR ISSUING 'ERRONEOUS WRITS'
AGAINST MYSELF - MR HULL IN VICTORIA
THE OTHER TWO IN WESTERN AUSTRALIA IN
AN ATTEMPT TO CONCEAL AND PROTECT
THE DISCOVERED 'MASONIC COUP'.