BRIEF of EVIDENCE



THE CONSTITUTION AND THE LAW OF TREASON

PRIME MINISTER
JULIA GILLARD
ATTAINTED WITH TREASON
THEREFORE
DISQUALIFIED
BY SECTION 44
OF THE
COMMONWEALTH CONSTITUTION

Brian W Shaw
Werribee / Victoria
21 June 2010

BRIEF OF EVIDENCE - INDEX

Attainted with treason	1
Charges and Summons – Julia Gillard	2
Application for Grand Jury	4
Section 44 – Constitution of Commonwealth	10
The Issue	11
The Law of Allegiance	12
The Law of Treason	13
The Act of Treason in Victoria	14
The Victorian Act (Allegiance removed)	15
The Judicial Dilemma	17
The Concealment	18
Public Prosecutions Act (Vic)	19
Criminal Code Act 1995 (Commonwealth)	20
Crimes Act 1958 (Vic) Section 354	21
Byrne v Armstrong (1899) Victoria	22
Byrne v Armstrong (1899) Victoria	23
High Court – Queen v Rogerson	24
Question of Fact for Jury	25
Criminal Code Act 1995 – Section 80	26
Concealment of Treason	27
Misprison	28
Attorney General Black (Murphy)	29

Crimes Act (1914) Commonwealth – Section 34				
Attorney General Black (Murphy)				
Queensland Hansard – 7 th December 1977	32			
Western Australia Hansard – 22 nd March 1978	33			
Halsbury's Laws of England	38			
Defendants Pending Grand Jury	39			
Grand Jury in Victoria	42			
Halsbury's Laws of England	43			
Sykes v DPP – England 1961	44			
Crimes Act 1958 (Vic) - Section 316	45			
What has been done unlawfully	46			
Oath / Affirmation in Constitution	47			
Julia Gillard's Affirmation	47			
Julia Gillard's Indictment wording	48			
The Western Australia Act	49			
West Australia Hansard Walker / McGinty	57			
Constitution Act (Commonwealth) – Section 49	59			
Halsbury's Laws of England	60			
Halsbury's Laws of England	61			
Religious Leaders Concealment	62			
Shire Councillors Werribee Concealment	63			
Halsbury's Laws of England	64			
R. Hawke (Section 44)	65			
Flector – The U.N. Agenda	66			

Plebiscite Bill (Senate)	67
Republic Constitution (Proposed)	68
Communist Manifesto	69
Steve Fielding (Letter)	70
Abbott Correspondence	83
Rudd Correspondence	86
Shaw Affidavit (16 th April 2010)	87
Michael Jeffrey (Charge and Summons)	93
Michael Jeffrey (Grand Jury Application)	95
John Howard (Charge and Summons)	101
John Howard (Grand Jury Application)	104
Damian Bugg (Charge and Summons)	111
Damian Bugg (Grand Jury Application)	119
Shaw Affidavit (16 th April 2010 – 13 pages)	121
C'Wealth of Australia - Constitution Act 1900 Preamble	136
Website – www.elijahschallenge.net	137

- ·

ATTAINTED WITH TREASON

Any Person

Attainted with Treason

shall be incapable of sitting

or of being chosen

Commonwealth Constitution Act
Section 44 (ii)

CF	narge and Summons		VP Form 400
8	(Bring this with you to Court)		
TOT	HE DEFENDANT	*	
Ms Julia Gillerd MP Shop 2, 36 Synnot S	The second secon		
Werribes Vic 3030		MFCO	
	, a a a		Date of Birth
		Registration No	State
You have been Ch Read b	arged with an offence against the Law oth pages to see what you must do	Liberas No	State
Dictails o	f the charges agains! you	of his department of the order of the control of the state of the stat	The second second
A CHARLE	Page 7 On 1st January 2004, the Government Australia, inclusive of the Executive Leg "the Commonwealth", did enact an over Courts and Legal Practice Act 2003 WA By such enactment an Act of Treason we Such Treason has been concealed by the and inclusive of the present date.	1 Act, titled, "Acts Amendme: " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	n agreement with ni and Repaal
Under what Law		or Regulation No. Section o	r Clause (Full Ref)
Type of offenc	Suprama and	Indicable offence (you must go a	eon of Treason
Are there more charges		ched	so Court
Informar			
Agency and Address	260 Leakes Road Truganina Victoria		
LESVOAIW T	10	Phone No. 09 938	941116
Informent Signisture		Date	
Where will the	case be heard	The state of the s	
Where you must go	The "Megiatrates" / Childrene Court of Victoria at	Melhaura	The same of the sa
Address	233 William Sreet Welbourne Victoria		
	291 70	nam Phone No.	
When	Ime Cow Day		2000
Defails about t	his summons	The state of the s	500
lasued at	MELBOURNE	Date S	UEE 2MPS
Signature	1945	Registrar Magu	
Charge filed at	MEKROPENE MEDITORIE	Date .	
,		Date	·- 10,

Scott MacDonald, Deputy Registrar

Melbourne Magistrates Court of Victoria

Russ to PAGE 48

29th January 2007

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

1. Brian. William Shaw of 280) Leakes Road	Truganina.	3030 in the	State of Victoria	do state
and affirm the following:		£ 55			
H.ne	× × ×	•	24 H	2	

That on the day of January 2007 (100), I served a charge and

summons returnable 29th January 2007, in the Magistrates Court of Victoria at

Melbourne, 233 William Street Melbourne, on Julia Guinno by

leaving the charge and summons with Charles

Shol 2 36 Symbor Start WERRISCE

Informant:

BRIM SHAW

Defendant:

Juna Binary

Affirmed by

AT WEADING In the State of Victoria

This day of January 2007

Before me

Rugare Ha Campbell

Justice of the Peace

AJUSTICE OF THE PEACE FOR VICTORIA REG. No. 9924 MARGARET MAY CAMPBELL 7 MUIRHEAD CRES. WERRIBEE 5030

Served 15th January 2007

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA FULL COURT; CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

No:

of 2007

Document Lodged
for Grand Jury
29th January 2007

IN THE MATTER of the Crimes Act 1958

And

IN THE MATTER of an Application by

Brian William Shaw

APPLICATION FOR GRAND JURY

TAKE NOTICE that the Full Court of the Supreme Court will be moved on the Day of 2007 at am by the Applicant for the following Orders pursuant to Section 354 of the Crimes Act 1958, the Applicant having disclosed Indictable offence/offences involving Treason, Misprison of Treason, Foreign Allegiances, Unlawful Oaths, but not limited to these Indictable Offences committed by:

Julia Gillard (Commonwealth MP) Werribee Victoria

1. The Sheriff is ordered to summons a Grand Jury to appear at a Court to be holden at a time and place determined by the Court in accordance with the provision of Section 354 of the Crimes Act 1958 (Vic) to attend at such Court at that time and place to inquire present do and execute all things which on the part of "the Queen" shall then and there be commanded of them.

2. TREASON IS:

"Treason consists of a Breach of duty of Allegiance which the Subject owes to the Sovereign and which binds him at all times and in all places"

[Rex v Casement 1917, 1 KB 98 at 114]

3. CRIMES ACT 1958 VICTORIA – SECTION 351

Mode of prosecution

"All treasons and misprisions of treason shall be prosecuted by indictment only, and all other indictable offences may be prosecuted by indictment or by presentment as hereinafter directed."

4. CRIMES ACT 1958 VICTORIA – SECTION 322E

Treason and misprision of treason not affected

"Nothing in this Part shall be taken to affect directly or indirectly any matter of law or practice applicable to treason or misprision of treason."

5. CRIMES ACT 1958 VICTORIA – SECTION 316

Unlawful oaths to commit treason, murder etc.

- (1) Every person who—
 - (a) Administers or is present at and consents to the administering of any oath or engagement in the nature of an oath purporting to bind the person who takes it to commit treason or murder; or
- (2) Every person who—
 - (a) administers or is present at and consents to the administering of any oath or engagement in the nature of an oath purporting to bind the person who takes it to act in any of the ways following (that is to say):—
 - (ii) To commit any indictable offence other than treason or murder;

(vii) not to reveal or discover any unlawful association society or confederacy or any illegal act done or to be done or any illegal oath or engagement that may have been administered or tendered to or taken by himself or any other person or the import of any such oath or engagement; or

shall be guilty of an indictable offence, and shall be liable to level 6 imprisonment (5 years maximum).

6. CRIMINAL CODE ACT 1995 (CTH)

Chapter 5: The Security of the Commonwealth

Part 5.1 Treason

Division 80 Treason

Penalty: Imprisonment for Life

CRIMINAL CODE ACT 1995 (CTH)

Chapter 5: The Security of the Commonwealth

Part 5.1 Treason

Division 80 Concealment or Assistance

- (2) A person commits an offence if the person:
 - (a) Receives or assists another person who, to his or her knowledge, has committed treason with the intention of allowing him or her to escape punishment or apprehension; or
 - (b) Knowing that another person intends to commit treason, does not inform a constable of it within a reasonable time or use other reasonable endeavours to prevent the commission of the offence.

Penalty: Imprisonment for Life

8. JUDICIARY ACT 1903 (CTH) SECTION 80

Common law to govern

So far as the laws of the Commonwealth are not applicable or so far as their provisions are insufficient to carry them into effect, or to provide adequate remedies or punishment, the common law in Australia as modified by the Constitution and by the statute law in force in the State or Territory in which the Court in which the jurisdiction is exercised is held shall, so far as it is applicable and not inconsistent with the Constitution and the laws of the Commonwealth, govern all Courts exercising federal jurisdiction in the exercise of their jurisdiction in civil and criminal matters.

9. TREASON & MISPRISON OF TREASON:

Must be by Grand Jury Exclusively

a. Byrne V Armstrong (1899) 25 VLR 126

(The Indictment)

"That section contemplates an exparte application founded upon an affidavit disclosing an indictable offence, and that a justice has refused to commit. The court has no discretion once those conditions are complied with. Section 386 shows that so far as Treason is concerned it must be prosecuted by indictment. The Attorney General could not start such a prosecution. It must be by grand jury." (at 126)

b. Byrne V Armstrong (1899) 25 VLR 126

(The Finding)

"It is provided now that all the prosecutions shall be by presentment, but preserving the prerogative of the Crown through the Attorney General to proceed by information, except in case of 'treason' which has to be by indictment. Now indictment means by Grand Jury and therefore, inasmuch as the statute provides that Treason shall be tried by indictment only. That means that it must be by Grand Jury and no other means is provided for bringing it before a Grand Jury." The finding of the Attorney General is equivalent to the finds of the Grand Jury, but in the case of Treason it must be the finding of the Grand Jury." (at 132)

c. McArdie V Lorne Campbell (Sept 1986) (On Behalf of the Crown)

"Much has changed since 1940 and in particular the Office of Director of Public Prosecutions has been established by Act No 9848 of 1982. Under that Act the Director of Public Prosecutions is charged with preparing, instituting and conducting criminal proceedings on "behalf of the Crown." The Director is responsible to the Attorney General for the due performance of his functions and he is given the power to enter a nolle prosequi in criminal proceedings. But the Attorney-General's power to enter a nolle prosequi is preserved in these circumstances, now that the responsibility for instituting criminal proceedings has been taken out of the hands of the Attorney-General, it may be that there is not the same need to have an alternative method of instituting proceedings. When the Office of Director of Public Prosecutions was established S354 of the Crimes Act was amended to substitute the words 'the Director or Public Prosecutions' for the words 'a law officer.' The section therefore now operates where the Director declines to present."

10. FOREIGN ALLEGIANCE

a. Commonwealth Constitution Act 1900 Section 44 (i)

Disqualification

Any person who:

(i) is under any acknowledgment of allegiance, obedience, or adherence to a foreign power, or is a subject or a citizen or entitled to the rights or privileges of a subject or a citizen of a foreign power; or

b. Commonwealth Constitution Act 1900 Section 44 (ii)
 Disqualification

Any person who:

(ii) is attainted of treason, or has been convicted and is under sentence, or subject to be sentenced, for any offence punishable under the law of the Commonwealth or of a State by imprisonment for one year or longer; or

11. COMMONWEALTH CONSTITUTION ACT 1900 SECTION 80 Trial by jury [Section 80 Constitutional Guarantee]

"The trial on indictment of any offence against any law of the Commonwealth shall be by jury, and every such trial shall be held in the State where the offence was committed, and if the offence was not committed within any State the trial shall be held at such place or places as the Parliament prescribes."

Such further or other orders that may be just and necessary.

DATED the 29th January 2007

This application is filed

Brian William Shaw

280 Leakes Road Truganina Victoria 3030 Staff at this particular Court accept the documents but are under instruction not to registrar the documents with a file number

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT SECTION 44

DISQUALIFICATION

Any person who:

- (i) is under any acknowledgment of *allegiance*, obedience, or adherence to a *foreign power*, or is a subject or a citizen or entitled to the rights or privileges of a subject or a citizen of a foreign power; or
- (ii) is attainted of treason, or has been convicted and is under sentence, or subject to be sentenced, for any offence punishable under the law of the Commonwealth or of a State by imprisonment for one year or longer; or
- (iii) is an undischarged bankrupt or insolvent; or
- (iv) holds any office of profit under the Crown, or any pension payable during the pleasure of the Crown out of any of the revenues of the Commonwealth; or
- (v) has any direct or indirect pecuniary interest in any agreement with the Public Service of the Commonwealth otherwise than as a member and in common with the other members of an incorporated company consisting of more than twenty-five persons;

shall be incapable of being chosen or of sitting as a senator or a member of the House of Representatives.

"The Disqualification Section"

THE ISSUE

Are Parliaments and Courts working within the Commonwealth Constitution Act 1900?

The Primary and Superior Act in Australia

Or

Are they working within and for a Concealed Foreign Power without the knowledge of the people?

The Omission

Since 1975 up to and inclusive of the present date, laws, in particular Constitutions have been amended or altered without informing or consulting the People of the States and Commonwealth by referendum process, as set out at:

- 1. Section 73 Western Australia Constitution
- 2. Section 53 Queensland Constitution
- 3. Section 7 New South Wales Constitution
- 4. Section 128 Commonwealth Constitution

Halsbury's Laws of England 2nd Edition Vol 6 at page 395 par 441 states:

"The Law of Allegiance"

"Since the Crown is the symbol of free association of the members of the British Commonwealth of Nations, which are united by a common allegiance to the Crown"

TREASON AND MISPRISION OF TREASON

Treason is:

The element required for the Criminal Offence of Treason is

A Breach of duty of Allegiance

Misprision of Treason:

The element required is

is the concealment or Keeping Secret of any Treason by a person

Precedent law and authority

R v Casement 1917 1 Kings Bench 98 at 114

Laine 10 Prists 62 + 63

The Act of Treason in Victoria

The Act Courts and Tribunals Legislation (Further Amendment) Act 2000

Assented to 5th September 2000

The Purpose of the Act

The purpose of this Act is to make miscellaneous amendments to the Legal Practice Act 1996, the Magistrates' Court Act 1989, the Supreme Court Act 1986 and the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal Act 1998.

Oath of Allegiance Removed

PART 2—LEGAL PRACTICE ACT 1996

3. Oath of allegiance no longer required In section 6(1) of the Legal Practice Act 1996,

The Court to Substitute

for paragraph (c) substitute—

"(c) takes an oath of office, or makes an affirmation of office, in the form required by the Court.".

Note The Court has no Power whatsoever to substitute or make law

5th September 2000



No. 51 of 2000

Courts and Tribunals Legislation (Further Amendment) Act 2000[†]

[Assented to 5 September 2000]

The Parliament of Victoria enacts as follows:

PART 1-PRELIMINARY

1. Purpose

The purpose of this Act is to make miscellaneous amendments to the Legal Practice Act 1996, the Magistrates' Court Act 1989, the Supreme Court Act 1986 and the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal Act 1998.

Please Note


1

Only ten months after the Commonwealth referendum to retain the United Kingdom law

Legal Practice Act (Vic)

Oath of 6th November 1999

"Breach of Allegiance"



Courts and Tribunals Legislation (Further Amendment) Act 2000

s. 3

Act No. 51/2000

PART 2—LEGAL PRACTICE ACT 1996

No. 35/1996. Reprint No. 2 as at 15 August 1999. Further amended by No. 52/1999.

3. Oath of allegiance no longer required

In section 6(1) of the Legal Practice Act 1996, for paragraph (c) substitute—

"(c) takes an oath of office, or makes an affirmation of office, in the form required by the Court.".

Please Note

- 1) The Court has no Legislative power whatsoever to permit any substitution
- 2) The Court is legally bound to uphold the law not to become law breakers
- 3) The Parliament of Victoria has no Legislative power whatsoever to make any law contrary to the agreed law of the Commonwealth Constitution (The Superior Act)In the Legal Practice Act 1996 (Vic), lawyers must take the Oath of Allegiance to enable them to practice law within Victoria

To remove the oath of Allegiance is to place every lawyer (inclusive of Judges) outside the law, but, in reality into another law- the unknown law of the Foreign Power now attacking the law or rather our law

Please note

For the Victorian Parliament to remove the Oath of Allegiance from the Legal Practice Act (Vic) without referendum consent, then it places the Parliament of Victoria inclusive of the Supreme Court of Victoria inclusive of all Judges, Masters, Registrars and Lawyers outside of the legal and valid structure of law, in simple words all broke law to enable "Another Law" to begin to take over

THE ACT

Courts and Tribunals Legislation (Further amendment) Act 2000 (Vic)

"All concealed from Voters"

The concealment of Treason carries a life imprisonment sentence in accordance with Section 80 of the Criminal code Act 1995 Commonwealth

Public Prosecutions Act 1994 Act No. 43/1994

- 51 Transitional provisions
- (3) "On the commencement of this subsection the office of Prosecutor for the Queen is abolished and any holder of that office goes out of office."

Please Note

A Valid presentment can only be made in the name of the Queen while the Commonwealth Constitution is in legal and constitutional position

Criminal Code Act 1995

(Commonwealth)

The Security of the Commonwealth

- 80.1 Treason
- (2) A person commits an offence if the person:
- (a) receives or assists another person who, to his or her knowledge, has committed treason with the intention of allowing him or her to escape punishment or apprehension; or
- (b) knowing that another <u>person intends to commit treason</u>, does not <u>inform a constable</u> of it within a reasonable time or <u>use other reasonable endeavours</u> to prevent the commission of the offence.

Penalty: Imprisonment for life.

Or use other reasonable endeavors

Crimes Act 1958 - Section 354

Indictments

Upon the application of any person supported by an affidavit disclosing an indictable offence and either that the same has been committed by some body corporate or that a court has declined or refused to commit or hold to bail the alleged offender or that no presentment was made against him at the court at which the trial would in due course have taken place, or upon the application of the Director of Public Prosecutions, it shall be lawful for the Full Court to order the Juries Commissioner to summon a grand jury to appear at a court to be holden at a time and place to be mentioned in the order; and upon receipt of such order the Juries Commissioner shall summon not less than twenty-three men to attend at such court at the time and place aforesaid to inquire present do and execute all things which on the part of the Queen shall then and there be commanded of them, and such men shall be taken from the jury roll of the jury district in which such place is situate and at the time and place aforesaid the said Juries Commissioner shall bring into court the said order with the name, occupation and date of birth of every grand juror written on a panel signed by him and sealed with his seal of office and shall deliver the said panel to the proper officer of the said court, who shall in open court call aloud the names of the grand jurors on the said panel one after another, and the twenty-three men so first drawn and appearing or if twenty-three men shall not appear such of them as do appear not being less than twelve men shall be the grand jury and shall be sworn and act as such accordingly:

Provided always that every such order shall be delivered to the Juries

Commissioner ten days before the day on which the indictment is

intended to be preferred.

Grand Jury

Indictment: By Grand Jury

Treason and Misprision of Treason

"It is provided now that all the prosecutions shall be by presentment, but preserving the prerogative of the Crown through the Attorney General to proceed by information, except in case of 'treason' which has to be by indictment. Now indictment means by Grand Jury and therefore, inasmuch as the statute provides that Treason shall be tried by indictment only. That means that it must be by Grand Jury and no other means is provided for bringing it before a Grand Jury." The finding of the Attorney General is equivalent to the finds of the Grand Jury, but in the case of Treason it must be the finding of the Grand Jury."

[Byrne v Armstrong (1899) 25 VLR 126 at 132]

Byrne v Armstrong (1899) 25 VLR 126

In 2001 it was discovered by all Attorney Generals inclusive of the Supreme Court of Victoria that the above mentioned judgment was still in legal position within Victoria

The judgment was delivered in 1899 with six Judges sitting the decision was 4/2 stating that the Court had no discretionary power but to order the sheriff to form a Grand Jury where the affidavit supplied revealed an indictable offence

In 2001 Officers of the Victorian Supreme Court working with the Attorney General of Victoria Mr R Hulls unlawfully overturned Byrne v Armstrong so as to pervert the Grand Jury hearing the offences

All Officers involved have been charged in relation to this particular concealment

You are here: AustLII >> Databases >> High Court of Australia >> 1992 >> [1992] HCA 25

[Database Search] [Name Search] [Recent Decisions] [Noteup] [LawCite] [Help]

R v Rogerson [1992] HCA 25; (1992) 174 CLR 268; (1992) 60 A Crim R 429 (17 June 1992)

HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

THE QUEEN v. ROGERSON [1992] HCA 25; (1992) 174 CLR 268 F.C. 92/021 [1992] HCA 25; (1992) 60 A Crim R 429

Criminal Law

High Court of Australia

Mason C.J.(1), Brennan(2), Deane(3), Toohey(2) and McHugh(4) JJ.

CATCHWORDS

Criminal Law - <u>Attempt to pervert course of justice</u> - Elements of offence - Police investigations - Whether part of course of justice - Frustrating or deflecting possible criminal prosecution - Whether identifiable offence must be in contemplation of accused or investigators.

Attempt to pervert course of justice

This matter deals with this particular criminal offence

The Supreme Court of Victoria by not accepting and listing according to law all lodged Grand Jury Applications is committing the above mentioned offence

A QUESTION OF FACT IS FOR A JURY DETERMINATION

A Question of Fact

"In my opinion, therefore, misprision of felony is today an indictable misdemeanour at common law, and a person is guilty of a crime if, knowing that a felony has been committed, he conceals his knowledge from those responsible for the preservation of the peace be they Constables or Justice, within a reasonable time and having a reasonable opportunity is, "a question of fact for a jury" and also whether the knowledge that he has is so definite that it ought to be disclosed"

[Sykes v Directors of Pubic Prosecutions, All England Law Reports, 1961 3 ALLER 33 (at 46)]

CRIMINAL CODE ACT 1995 COMMONWEALTH

Section 80.1 2(A)

Becomes operative in relation to the Victorian

Parliament, Mr R Hulls and Officers of the

Supreme Court who with intent have perverted the legal Right of Grand Jury in relation to the twin criminal offence of Treason (Breach of Allegiance) and Misprision of Treason (the concealment of such treason

Penalty is Life Imprisonment

Concealment of Treason

"It was allowed it was felony and so included misprision etc. as a result of this case. It was held that every treason or felony included misprision. The King used to take advantage of this Rule in case of treason, so that, if the man did not deserve the death penalty, he was indicted only with "misprision of treason". Now concealment of treason was itself treason"

[Sykes v Directors of Pubic Prosecutions, All England Law Reports, 1961 3 ALLER 33 (at 36)]

The Element required

Failure or Refusal

"Misprison requires nothing active. The failure or refusal to disclose the felony is enough"

[Sykes v Directors of Pubic Prosecutions, All England Law Reports, 1961 3 ALLER 33 (at 41)]

Please Note

Misprision of Treason carries a penalty of Life Imprisonment under section 80 Criminal Code Act 1995 Commonwealth

ATTORNEY-GENERAL (VICT.); EX REL. BLACK v. THE COMMONWEALTH (1981) 146 CLR 559

Murphy J

45. It is a traditional duty of the Attorney-General of Australia to defend the validity of Acts. It would be incongruous and unrealistic to hold that only the Attorney-General could challenge the validity of an Act. To require a person who is not and will not be affected by the coercive operation of an Act to obtain the fiat of the Attorney-General of Australia or of a State would put enforcement of constitutional guarantees at the mercy of political pressures exercisable through parliaments, although the purpose of the constitutional guarantees was to provide certain protections, even against parliaments. A citizen's right to invoke the judicial power to vindicate constitutional guarantees should not, and, in my opinion, does not, depend upon obtaining an Attorney-General's consent. Any one of the people of the Commonwealth has the standing to proceed in the courts to secure the observance of constitutional guarantees. Objections to wide standing have no merit. Experience in other countries, especially the United States, has shown that the "floodgates" argument is baseless, and that procedures are available to deal with frivolous challenges. (at p634)

CRIMES ACT 1914 COMMONWEALTH SECTION 34

Judge or magistrate acting oppressively or when interested

- (1) Any person who:
 - (a) being a judge or magistrate and being required or authorized by law to admit any person accused of an offence against the law of the Commonwealth to bail, in abuse of his or her office, requires excessive and unreasonable bail; or
 - (b) being a judge or magistrate, intentionally and perversely exercises federal jurisdiction in any matter in which he or she has a personal interest;

shall be guilty of an offence.

Penalty: Imprisonment for 2 years.

ATTORNEY-GENERAL (VICT.); EX REL. BLACK v. THE COMMONWEALTH (1981) 146 CLR 559

Murphy J

42. Section 80 (trial by jury) and s. 116 are among the very few guarantees of freedom in the Constitution. In R. v. Federal Court of Bankruptcy; Ex parte Lowenstein (1938) <u>59 CLR 556</u>, at pp 581-582 (41 Law Ed 2d 159, at p 180), Dixon and Evatt JJ. asserted that this Court's reading of s. 80 made a mockery of the Constitution. A reading of s. 116 that the prohibition against "any law for establishing any religion" does not prohibit a law which sponsors or supports religions, but prohibits only laws for the setting up of a national church or religion, or alternatively prohibits only preferential sponsorship or support of one or more religions, makes a mockery of s. 116. Jefferson warned against this tendency. "Our peculiar security is the possession of a written Constitution. Let us not make it a blank paper by construction" (Jefferson, Writings (Washington ed., 1859), p. 506). We should heed his warning.

Section 80 only activates on Indictment

Constitutional Safeguard

On the 7th of December 1977 Premier Joh Bjelke-Petersen presented to the Queensland Parliament The Constitution Act Amendment Bill.

Extracts from Hansard:

My Government believes that writing these existing provisions of constitutional law and practice into the constitution will safeguard the existing system of parliamentary Government in Queensland but it believes that it is necessary to entrench this safeguard against the possibility of changes being brought about by Parliament contrary to the wishes of the electorate.

Few people in Queensland today want a republic - especially the socialist republic which so many Labor Party people appear to want. We do not want a Government of this State at any time taking advantage of the people to produce a republic by stealth. If the people want it, then they should be able to clearly indicate so at a referendum. Only if the people of Queensland vote for a change will it be possible for Australia as a whole to become a republic, because you cannot change the monarchy in one part of Australia unless you change it in the whole.

To entrench the present system, the Bill provides that none of its clauses can be altered by Parliament unless the Bill is first presented to the people by way of referendum as prescribed in this Bill.

The requirement of entrenchment (Section 53) is also itself entrenched so that the guarantee cannot be undone, such as has been done in other parts of the Commonwealth of Nations where a republican form of government has been brought about contrary to the constitution.

As I have said, a great deal of thought has gone into this Bill. What has been done in other parts of the British Commonwealth has been taken into account and we have considered all of the implications of entrenchment and the way in which the entrenchment can be brought about constitutionally. My Government is confident that this is a measure which will meet with the whole-hearted approval of the people of Queensland and will attract a great deal of interest among the parliamentarians and people of other States of Australia and overseas. I commend the Bill to the House.

Hansard - as reproduced in Wake Up, Australia November 1986.

Section 53 Queensland Constitution

Western Australia Sir Charles Court Hansard 22 March 1978

Re: Section 73

(Western Australia Constitution)

Hansard 22 March 1978

Western Australia

ACTS AMENDMENT (CONSTITUTION) BILL

Second Reading

SIR CHARLES COURT (Nedlands—Premier)

[2.31 p.m.]: 1 move—

That the Bill be now read a second time.

This Bill and the speech I am about to make on it will have a familiar ring to members.

In the last session of Parliament a similar Bill was introduced but lapsed due to the fact that it did not obtain the <u>required constitutional majority</u> in the <u>Legislative Council</u>.

Mr Bertrans: Providential intervention.

Sir CHARLES COURT: The principles espoused in this Bill are so important that the Government has decided to reintroduce the Bill as it is part of our policy statement made to the electors for the period 1977-1980, that statement contained the following quote referring to any attempt to damage or destroy the status of the Parliament of Western Australia. I again quote from that policy statement as follows

We will legislate to block any further attempt to damage or destroy the rights and status of the Parliament of Western Australia, without the consent of the people.

This policy stems from a series of Australian Labor Party moves culminating last year in a decision of the State A.L.P Conference that a future Labor Government would not appoint State Governors.

Quite rightly—then and now—we have interpreted this decision as part of the long-term Labor Party goat of <u>destroying State Parliament</u> in the interests of centralising all Government in Canberra.

Mr Can: Rubbish!

Sir CHARLES COURT: To continue-

We accepted the challenge at the time of the A.L.P, decision to make this an election issue.

Mr Bertram: Not much of an issue you made of it,

Sir CHARLES COURT: I am quoting from the document, which continues—

We therefore give notice that our intended legislation to block such moves without the people's consent is a policy proposal for which we seek a clear-cut mandate from electors.

Our proposed legislation will protect and preserve both Houses of our State Parliament and with them the office of Governor.

We have reason to believe that attempts could be made to abolish either or both Houses of Parliament, reduce the numbers of the members of either House in an attempt to weaken them, or to by-pass the right of the electors at large to elect the members of either House

Mr Pearce: You should do away with the gerrymander.

Sir CHARLES COURT: To continue-

We also have reason to believe that attempts could be made to <u>alter the office of Governor</u>, to abolish or water down the <u>right of the Oueen</u> to appoint the Governor, to by-pass the Governor's role in <u>giving assent</u> to every law, or to make the Governor a rubber stamp of the Government as part of the process of undermining our State Constitution and our Parliament.

To protect Parliament—our legislation will provide that no changes of the nature mentioned, can be made concerning either house of Parliament without the approval of a majority of the State's electors at a referendum

To protect the position of Governor—there would have to be similar approval by referendum to any Bill which would abolish or alter the office of Governor, or the Queen's sole right to appoint the Governor or issue instructions with which the Governor must comply in performing his duties, or which would alter the requirement that the Governor's assent must be given to every Bill before it becomes law. This means that unless the people agree otherwise the Governor's role will continue exactly as it is and will be protected from political manipulation.

The major rote of the Governor is to ensure that not even Parliament can exceed the authority the people give it. If Parliament does so, the Governor can send it back to the people, who have the ultimate authority. We reject the misconception fostered by the A.L.P—that the Governor has some kind of power over the people which he should not have. In fact, he merely has Constitutional authority for and on behalf of the people. This authority has never

been used, but the fact that it <u>remains in reserve</u> is a powerful safeguard against abuse by a Government of the rights of the people.

This Bill seeks to achieve three purposes. The first is to emphasise the role of Her Majesty the Queen in the Parliament of Western Australia. The second is to protect and preserve the existence of both Houses of the State Parliament and to ensure their continued role as an integral and essential part of the law-making process.

The third purpose of the Bill is to confirm by Statute the office of the role of Governor and that appointments to the office of Governor and the instructions with which the Governor must comply in performing his duties are both made and issued by the Queen personally, as happens at present.

The Bill proposes to spell out clearly in our Constitution the fact that our Parliament consists of the Queen and the Legislative Council and the Legislative Assembly.

The Bill also proposes that any future Bill that would abolish either House of the Parliament or which would reduce the numbers of Members of either House or which would permit either House to be constituted by members not elected by the electors at large can become law only if such a Bill is passed by an absolute majority of both Houses of Parliament and is approved of by all of the electors of the State voting at a referendum.

The referendum would have to be held not less than two months and not later than six months alter the passage of the relevant Bill through both Houses of Parliament. Here I would like to emphasise that this is slightly different from the wording of last year's Bill, the limiting periods being similar to those provided for Commonwealth referendums

It will be recalled that a query was raised last time the legislation was before the House as to why there was no limit on the time during which the referendum could be held.

Mr Davies: You suggested then that a Government might not go on with the referendum.

Sir CHARLES COURT: We were queried as to why we did not have a limitation.

At the time, quite frankly, I was not very concerned about it, and now I am still not; but if it does make it tidier so we are precise about the minimum and maximum times, we felt it would be wise to include the six months, particularly as it has <u>relativity with Commonwealth referendum</u>.

The <u>same procedure</u> would also apply to any Bill which would <u>abolish or alter the office of</u>

<u>Governor, abolish or alter the sole right of the Oueen</u> to issue instructions to the Governor as to the

performance of his duties, or alter the requirement that every Bill must be presented to the Governor for assent before it may become law.

The proposed Bill, in so far as it deals with the office, obligations, and powers of the Governor, makes no change in long-standing constitutional conventions and practices, but is intended to ensure that those long-standing conventions and practices cannot in the future be altered without the consent of a majority of the electors of the State

A <u>reference to the Governor</u> includes any other person properly appointed to administer the Government or exercise any powers or authorities during his temporary absence.

When I introduced a similar Bill last year I made a particular point of emphasising the role of the Governor and it is worth repeating again.

The major role of the Governor is to ensure that not even Parliament can exceed the authority given to it by the people. If any alteration to the Parliament or any part of its operation which affects the role of the Houses or the role of the Governor is to be contemplated, then it is only right that the people should be consulted. They are, after all, the ultimate authority for each member in these Houses of Parliament and I will mourn the day when that is no longer the case.

The principles in this Bill are simple and are designed to give the people in Western Australia stability in Government and security for the future.

I commend the Bill to the House.

Debate adjourned, on motion by Mr Davies (Leader of the Opposition).

Please Note

Sir Charles Court strengthened Section 73 of the Constitution of the State of Western Australia.

In the period 2003/2004 up to and inclusive of present date section 73 was not abided by

Halsbury's Laws of England, 2nd Edition, Vol 2 at Paragraph 480

"Misprison of Treason is the concealment or Keeping Secret of any Treason by a person who is not a party or consenter to it"

"In <u>order to constitute</u> Misprison of Treason there must, it is said, be a knowledge of the traitor as well as of the treasonable design or offence"

"Concealment may in some cases amount to evidence of assent or possibly of conspiracy, and in such case the person concealing the Treason would be <u>liable as a principal</u>"

DEFENDANTS PENDING GRAND JURY HEARINGS

Formally Charged by Private Prosecution and Presented to the Melbourne Magistrates Court - Victoria

Commonwealth Politicians

1) Julia Gillard <u>Prime Minister</u> (MP) Werribee Victoria

2) John Howard Former Prime Minister

Kim Beazley Former Leader of the Opposition

(Commonwealth)

Governor General

4) Michael Jeffery Former Governor General (Commonwealth)

Director of Public Prosecutions (Commonwealth and State)

5) Mr Damian Bugg Director of Public Prosecutions Commonwealth

6) Darren W L Renton Commonwealth DPP, WA

7) Robert Cock QC Director of Public Prosecutions WA

8) Mr Paul Coghlan Former Director of Public Prosecutions (Vic)

Currently a Judge of the Victoria Supreme Court

Attorney Generals (Commonwealth and State)

9) Philip Ruddock Former Attorney General for the

Commonwealth of Australia

10) Mr James McGinty Former Attorney General for the State of Western

Australia

11) Kerry Shine Former Attorney General for the State of Queensland

12) Sydney James Stirling Attorney General for the Northern Territory

13) Michael Atkinson Attorney-General for the State of South Australia

14) Rob Justin Hulls Current Attorney-General for the State of Victoria

15) Rob Hulls Attorney General for the State of Victoria

16) Simon Corbell, Attorney General for the ACT

17) Steve Kons, Attorney General for the State of Tasmania

18) Robert John Debus, Attorney-General for the State of NSW

High Court of Australia

19) John Dyson Heydon Justice (High Court)

20) William Montague Charles Gummow Justice (High Court)

21) Anthony Murray Gleeson Former Chief Justice (High Court)

22) Susan Maree Crennan Justice (High Court)

23) Kenneth Madison Hayne Justice (High Court)

24) Mr M Kirby Former Judge High Court of Australia

25) Mr Ian Callinan Former Judge High Court of Australia

Supreme Court of Appeal Victoria

26) Mr John Winneke Former President Court of Appeal (Vic)

27) Mr Brooking Judge Court of Appeal (Vic)

28) Mr Charles Judge Court of Appeal (Vic)

29) Mr Buchanan Judge Court of Appeal (Vic)

30) Mr Chernov Judge Court of Appeal (Vic)

31) Dodds-Streeton Judge Court of Appeal (Vic)

32) Justice Nettle Judge Court of Appeal (Vic)

33) Mr Phillip Cain Registrar Court of Appeal (Vic)

Supreme Court Victoria

34) Mr Ewan Evans Master Supreme Court (Vic)

35) Mr Thomas Smith Judge Supreme Court (Vic)

36) Kathryn Kings Master Supreme Court (Vic)

37) Mr. Charles Wheeler Former Master Supreme Court (Vic)

(2 Applications)

Magistrates (Victoria)

38) Mr Ian Leslie Gray, Chief Magistrate, Magistrates Court of Victoria

39) C Randazzo Melbourne Magistrates Court (Stood Down)

Supreme Court of Western Australia

40) Audrey Gillian Braddock Supreme Court WA (Commissioner)

41) Wayne Stewart Martin Supreme Court WA (Chief Justice)

42) Christine Ann Wheeler Supreme Court WA
43) Christopher David Steytler Supreme Court WA

44) Christopher James Lonsdale Pullin Supreme Court WA

45) John Roderick McKechnie

Supreme Court WA

46) Michael John Buss

Supreme Court WA

47) Corryn Rayney

Supreme Court WA (Murdered)

48) Robert MacKenzie Mitchell

State Solicitor's Office WA

Christian Leaders (Werribee Victoria)

49) Mr Max Bower,

Anglican Church Werribee (Priest)

Others

50) Mr David Ward

CEO ANZ Trustees

51) Mr James Rutherford

Director/Partner, Harwood Andrews

Lawyers Geelong

52) John James Mansell Bowler

Minister Mining (Western Australia)

(Now Independent)

53) Mr J Maley

Former Grand Master, WA Freemasons

Grand Jury in Victoria

They now state that the former Right has been abolished by a new Act enacted in Victoria to enable defendants to escape trial

Please Note:

The Purported Act of Parliament that abolished Grand
Jury right was enacted by the defendants in an Endeavour
to avoid Grand Jury process relating to themselves.

Halsbury's Laws of England 2nd Edition Vol 6 at page 389 par 435 states:

"Public authorities (including the Crown)
may do nothing but what they are
authorised to do by some Rule or Common
Law or Statute"

Facts and Knowledge

"If facts are within his knowledge that would materially assist in the detection and arrest of a felon, he must disclose them as it is a duty he owes to the State"

[Sykes v Directors of Pubic Prosecutions, All England Law Reports, 1961 3 ALLER 33 (at 36)]

Crimes Act 1958 - SECT 316 (Victoria) Unlawful oaths to commit treason, murder etc

Unlawful Oaths

- (1) Every person who-
 - (a) <u>administers</u> or is <u>present at</u> and <u>consents to</u> the administering of any oath or engagement in the nature of an oath purporting to bind the person who takes it to <u>commit treason</u> or murder; or
 - (b) takes any such oath or engagement not being compelled to do so; or
- (c) induces or attempts to induce any person to take any such oath or engagementshall be guilty of an indictable offence, and shall be liable to level 5 imprisonment (10 years maximum).
- (2) Every person who-
- (a) <u>administers</u> or is present at and consents to the administering of any oath or engagement in the nature of an oath purporting to bind the person who takes it to act in any of the ways following (that is to say):-
 - (i) to engage in any mutinous or seditious enterprise;
 - (ii) to commit any indictable offence other than treason or murder;
 - (iii) to disturb the public peace;
 - (iv) to be of any association society or confederacy formed for the purpose of doing any such act as aforesaid;
- (v) to obey the order or commands of any committee or body of men not lawfully constituted or of any <u>leader</u> or commander or other person not having authority by law for that purpose;
- (vi) not to inform or give evidence against any associate confederate or other person;
- (vii) not to reveal <u>or</u> discover any unlawful association society <u>or</u> confederacy or any illegal act done <u>or</u> to be done <u>or</u> any illegal oath <u>or</u> engagement that may have been administered <u>or</u> tendered to <u>or</u> taken by himself <u>or</u> any other person or the import of any such oath <u>or</u> engagement; <u>or</u>
- (b) takes any such oath or engagement not being compelled to do so; or
- (c) induces or attempts to induce any person to take any such oath or engagementshall be guilty of an indictable offence, and shall be liable to level 6 imprisonment (5 years maximum).

WHAT HAS BEEN DONE UNLAWFULLY

- In 1975 the Victorian Parliament enacted a New Constitution for the State of Victoria, without
 repealing the former Victorian Constitution Act of 1855, a United Kingdom Act "No Referendum
 was activated or Held"
- In 1984 Mr. R Hawke and six State Premiers activated various State Request Acts to the United Kingdom and Commonwealth Parliaments requesting a Legal process to enable them to bring in the Australia Act

"No State referendums were activated or held neither was any Commonwealth referendum held relating to these State Request Acts"

- In 1986 The Australia Act (Commonwealth) was activated <u>without the required Commonwealth</u>
 Constitution referendum
- 4. <u>In 1991</u> the Commonwealth Bank was removed from the Bank of the Constitution and sold into the hands of the Private Banks "without any referendum"
- In 2000, the Parliament of the State of Victoria removed the Oath of Allegiance from the Legal Practice Act 19996 (Vic) "No referendum was held"
- 6. In 2003/2004, in Western Australia the Crown of the United Kingdom, the Constitutional Monarch Queen Elizabeth the Second and the Oath of Allegiance was removed from a number of Acts within Western Australia "No referendum was held"
- 7. Western Australia did separate from Federation in the period 2003-2004, but, sent Senators and House of Representatives to sit in the Commonwealth parliament without valid Constitutional authority or jurisdiction
- 8. In the period 2003 up to and inclusive of this present date because of the fracturing of the Commonwealth Constitution Act 1900, all Politicians State and Commonwealth are currently sitting illegally without the knowledge or consent of the electorate "The facts have been omitted?"
- 9. On 24 June 2010 the Governor General of the Commonwealth of Australia Quentin Bryce did swear in Julia Gillard as the New Prime Minister of the Commonwealth of Australia without abiding by the law as stated at section 42 and the schedule of the Commonwealth Constitution Act 1900 "No referendum was held to alter the schedule"

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT SECTION 42

Every senator and every member of the House of Representatives shall before taking his seat make and subscribe before the Governor-General, or some person authorised by him, an oath or affirmation of allegiance in the form set forth in the schedule to this Constitution.

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT SCHEDULE

Affirmation

I, A.B., do solemnly and sincerely affirm and declare that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty <u>Oueen Elizabeth II</u>, Her heirs and successors according to law.

Gillard's Affirmation

"I, Julia Eileen Gillard, do solemnly and sincerely affirm and declare that I will well and truly serve the Commonwealth of Australia, her land and her people in the office of Prime Minister."

NOT The DATH AFFIRMATION .
FROM THE CONSTITUTION.

THE WORDS OF THE CHARGE ON JULIA GILLARD ARE:

On 1st January 2004, the Government of Western Australia at Perth, Western Australia, inclusive of the Executive Legislature and Judicial arms, in agreement with "the Commonwealth", did enact an overt Act, titled, "Acts Amendment and Repeal Courts and Legal Practice Act 2003 WA".

By such enactment an Act of Treason was committed.

Such Treason has been concealed by the defendant, since the date of enactment up to and inclusive of the present date "MISPRISON & DRAGO"

Eight pages relating to Part 8 "Amendments about the Crown" from the Western Australia Act, mentioned above is included into this document

The Act is a full attack on the entire structure of the current Constitution to enable the International Socialist movement to take over Australia


front 16 /pie 2

The Western Australia

Separation Act

2003-2004

- 1. Removes Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second Her Heirs and Successors and Subjects
- 2. Removes the Crown of the United Kingdom
- 3. Removes and Substitutes the oath of Allegiance



SHE CRIMINAL ACT

Acts Amendment and Repeal (Courts and Legal Practice) Act 2003 (No. 65 of 2003)

Part 8 — Amendments about the Crown

121. Bail Act 1982 amended

(1) The amendments in this section are to the Bail Act 1982*.

[* 27 August 1999.

For subsequent amendments see 2001 Index to Legislation of Western Australia, Table 1, p. 27 and Acts Nos. 6 and 27 of 2002.]

- (2) Section 3(1) is amended by deleting the definition of "prosecutor" and inserting instead —
 "prosecutor" includes
 - (a) in the case of an offence charged in a complaint, the complainant;
 - (b) in the case of an offence charged in an indictment, the State or the Commonwealth, as the case may be;".
- (3) Each of the provisions in the Table to this subsection is amended by deleting "Crown" in each place where it occurs and in each case inserting instead "State".

Table

s. 19(2)(b)	s. 58(1)
s. 49(1)	s. 58(2)
s. 49(3)	s. 59 (2 places)
s. 57(1)	Schedule 1 Part D cl. 1(2)(e)
s. 57(3)	

(4) Section 63 is amended by deleting "Crown" and inserting instead — "State or the Commonwealth"



122. Children's Court of Western Australia Act 1988 amended

(1) The amendments in this section are to the Children's Court of Western Australia Act 1988*.

[* 25 August 2000.

For subsequent amendments see 2001 Index to Legislation of Western Australia, Table 1, p. 51 and Act No. 27 of 2002.]

- (2) Section 19B(4)(b) is amended by deleting "Crown" and inserting instead " State ".
- (3) Section 19E is amended by deleting "the Crown in the right of".
- (4) Section 32(2)(a)(i) is amended by deleting "Crown" and inserting instead " State ".
- (5) Section 32(4) is amended in the definition of "public authority" by deleting "the Crown in right of".
- (6) Schedule I is amended by deleting "our Sovereign Lady Queen Elizabeth the Second, Her Heirs and Successors" in the 2 places where it occurs and in each place inserting instead " the State of Western Australia".

123. The Criminal Code amended

- (1) The amendments in this section are to The Criminal Code*.
- [* 9 February 2001 as the Schedule to the Criminal Code appearing as Appendix B to the Criminal Code Compilation Act 1913.

For subsequent amendments see 2001 Index to Legislation of Western Australia, Table 1, p. 89 and Acts Nos. 3, 6, 8 and 27 of 2002.]

(2) Each of the provisions in the Table to this subsection is amended by deleting "Crown" in each place where it occurs and in each case inserting instead — " prosecutor ".

Table

s. 577 (2 places)	s. 632A	
s. 616(1)	s. 646	
s. 617A	s. 651A(5)	
s. 618(3)		

- (3) Section 581 is amended by deleting "Crown" in the 2 places where it occurs and in each place inserting instead " State ".
- (5) Section 609 is amended by deleting "Crown" and inserting instead "State or the Commonwealth".

- (6) Section 628 is amended as follows:
 - (a) by deleting "Crown" in the first and third places where it occurs and in each place inserting instead " prosecutor ";
 - (b) in paragraph (2) by deleting "Crown" and inserting instead " State or the Commonwealth, as the case may be, ".
- (7) Section 633 is amended by deleting "Crown" and inserting instead " State or the Commonwealth, as the case may be, ".



- (8) Section 637 is amended by deleting "counsel for the Crown" in the 4 places where it occurs and in each place inserting instead "prosecutor".
- (9) Section 693A(4) is amended by deleting "Crown" and inserting instead " State ".
- (10) Section 701(2) is amended by deleting "for the Crown thereon".
- (11) Section 720 is amended by deleting "Queen" and inserting instead " State ".



- (12) Section 729(3) is amended by deleting "Crown" in the 3 places where it occurs and in each place inserting instead " prosecution ".
- (13) Section 746A(1) is amended as follows:
 - (a) by deleting "prosecution" and inserting instead " State ";
 - (b) by deleting "Crown" and inserting instead " State ".
- (14) Section 746A(4) is amended by deleting "Crown" and inserting instead " State ".

124. Director of Public Prosecutions Act 1991 amended

- (1) The amendments in this section are to the Director of Public Prosecutions Act 1991*.
- [* 1 June 2001.

For subsequent amendments see 2001 Index to Legislation of Western Australia, Table 1, p. 100 and Act No. 27 of 2002.]

(2) Section 10(1)(a) is amended by deleting "Crown" and inserting instead — " State ".

125. District Court of Western Australia Act 1969 amended

(1) The amendments in this section are to the District Court of Western Australia Act 1969*.

[* 19 January 2001.

For subsequent amendments see 2001 Index to Legislation of Western Australia, Table 1, p. 103 and Act No. 23 of 2002.]

- (2) Section 6 is amended as follows:
 - (a) in the definition of "action" by deleting "by the Crown";
 - (b) in the definition of "cause" by deleting "by the Crown".
- (3) Section 10(1) is amended by deleting "in Her Majesty's name" and inserting instead "under the Public Seal of the State".
- (4) Section 11(1) is amended by deleting "Her Majesty" and inserting instead "the Governor".
- (5) Section 18A(1) is amended by *deleting "in Her Majesty's* name" and inserting instead "under the Public Seal of the State".
- (6) Section 18A(3) is amended by *deleting "in Her Majesty's name*" and inserting instead "under the Public Seal of the State".
- (7) Section 24(1) is amended by *deleting "in Her Majesty's name*" and inserting instead—" under the Public Seal of the State".
- (8) The Schedule is amended by deleting "our Sovereign Lady Queen Elizabeth the Second Her Heirs and Successors" in the 2 places where it occurs and in each place inserting instead " the State of Western Australia".

126. Family Court Act 1997 amended

(1) The amendments in this section are to the Family Court Act 1997*.

[* Act 40 of 1997.

For subsequent amendments see 2001 Index to Legislation of Western Australia, Table I, p. 124 and Acts Nos. 3 and 25 of 2002.

(2) Schedule 1 item 1 is amended by deleting "Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second, Her heirs and successors" in each place where it occurs and in each place inserting instead — " the State of Western Australia".

127. Juries Act 1957 amended

- (1) The amendments in this section are to the *Juries Act 1957**. [* 3 July 2000.]
- (2) Section 38(1) is amended by deleting "those prosecuting for the Crown" and inserting instead " the prosecution ".
- (3) Section 52(1) is amended by deleting "Crown" and inserting instead " prosecution ".

128. Justices Act 1902 amended

(1) The amendments in this section are to the Justices Act 1902*.

[* 8 October 2001.

For subsequent amendments see 2001 Index to Legislation of Western Australia, Table 1, p. 193 and Act No. 27 of 2002.]

- (2) Section 154A(1) is amended by <u>deleting "Crown"</u> in the 2 places where it occurs and in each place inserting instead " State ".
- (3) Section 154A(3) is amended by deleting "Crown" and inserting instead " State ".
- (4) Section 206D is amended by deleting "Crown" and inserting instead " State ". 129. Local Courts Act 1904 amended
- (1) The amendments in this section are to the Local Courts Act 1904*. [* 12 January 2001.]
- (2) Section 3 is amended in the *definition of "Minister"* by deleting "Crown" and inserting instead " State ".

130. Supreme Court Act 1935 amended

(1) The amendments in this section are to the <u>Supreme Court Act 1935*</u>.

[* 9 February 2001.

For subsequent amendments see 2001 Index to Legislation of Western Australia, Table 1, p. 370 and Act No. 23 of 2002.]

- (2) Section 4 is amended as follows:
 - (a) in the definition of "Action" by deleting "by the Crown";
 - (b) in the definition of "Cause" by deleting "by the Crown".



- (3) Section 9(1) is amended by *deleting "Her Majesty*" and inserting instead "the Governor".
- (4) Section 15(1) is amended by deleting "Royal Arms" and inserting instead "armorial bearings of the State".
- (5) Section 37(2) is repealed and the following subsection is inserted instead
 - (2) Nothing in this section shall alter the practice in proceedings in relation to the prerogative and criminal jurisdiction of the Court.
- (6) Section 50(1) is amended by deleting "by the Crown".
- (7) Section 51(1) is amended by <u>deleting "by the Crown"</u>.
- (8) Section 56(1) is amended by deleting "by the Crown".
- (9) Section 119 is amended by deleting "to Her Majesty or any of her subjects, or other persons entitled in like manner as subjects".
- (10) Section 154(1) is amended by deleting "Her Majesty's" and inserting instead—
 " The ".
- (11) Section 154(3), (4), (5) and (6) are each amended by deleting "Crown" in each place where it occurs and in each place inserting instead " State ".
- (12) The Second Schedule is amended by deleting "our Sovereign Lady Oueen Elizabeth the Second. Her Heirs and successors" and inserting instead—
 "the State of Western Australia".

*unlished by:
Proclamations

GOVERNMENT GAZETTE Western Australia Previous Close Next

No. 208. 30-1200-200. Page: 5722 Pdf - 455k

AA201

ACTS AMENDMENT AND REPEAL (COURTS AND LEGAL - PRACTICE) ACT 2003 65 of 2003

PROCLAMATION

WESTERN AUSTRALIA

John Sanderson,

Governor.

[L.S.]

By His Excellency John Murray Sanderson

Lieutenant General John

Murray Sanderson,

Companion of the Order of
Australia, Governor of the
State of Western Australia.

The Governor

I, the Governor, acting under section 2 of the Acts Amendment and Repeal (Courts and Legal Practice) Act 2003, and with the advice and consent of the Executive Council, fix 1 January 2004 as the day on which that Act comes into operation.

Given under my hand and the Public Seal of the State on 23 December 2003.

Executive Council

By Command of the Governor,

J. A. McGINTY, Attorney General.

GOD SAVE THE QUEEN!

Attorney General

Government of Western Australia
State Law Publisher. All contents Copyright (C) 2003.
All rights reserved. Disclaimer

Prochamianing 23 Peckinsky 2003.

(Prochamianian) 23 Peckinsky 2003.

EN AGMINN 1. Samuary 2004

Sue Walker and J A McGinty

Western Australia Hansard 2002

COOPERATIVE EXERCISE BETWEEN ALL THE KEY STAKEHOLDERS

LEGAL PRACTICE BILL 2002 (Western Australia)

5734 [ASSEMBLY]

Ms S.E. WALKER: The reason I asked about the amendments is that last night I attended a function at which therewere a lot of members of the Law Society, and when I mentioned some of the amendments that had been made to the first Bill they did not appear to know about them and told me they had probably come from the Legal Practice Board. I know that the Legal Practice Board includes members of the Bar, but, apart from the Legal Practice Board, have the amendments that came to the Assembly on 25 February been considered by the Law Society and the legal profession generally, because my understanding is that they have not?

Mr J.A. McGINTY: The Law Society has been in constant dialogue with the Solicitor General on this matter. Alison Gaines, the Executive Director of the Law Society, has been constantly advised of the nature of the amendments. As I have said, this Bill has been a cooperative exercise between all the key stakeholders, in particular the Legal Practice Board, the Law Society and the Bar Association, which have provided us with fairly constant input into what the amendments should contain. I have spoken with the Law Society about these amendments. The Law Society has been advised of the amendments and has raised no objection; indeed, it continues to advocate strong support for the passage of the Bill in its entirety.

Ms S.E. WALKER: One of people to whom I spoke last night was <u>Elizabeth Heenan</u>, who is the <u>President of the Law Society</u>. I asked her about some of the amendments, and she indicated that she did not play any part in those amendments. That is why I am asking whether the legal profession, through the Law Society, has considered the consequences of all the amendments that have come in after the initial rather large Bill came in.

Mr J.A. McGinty: It was certainly aware of them,

Mrs C.L. Edwardes: Did the Attorney send a copy of the amendments to the Law Society for its information and/or consideration?

Mr J.A. McGINTY: The nature of the process with the stakeholders was consultative. The answer is I did not, but papers were changing hands on a daily basis between the various people involved, and the draft Bill was flowing between the members of the committee, on which the Law Society was represented. I cannot stand here and say that a particular amendment was formally given to the Law Society, but there was certainly no lack of freedom of information, if I can put it that way, in the way the amendments were passed backwards and forwards. Certainly since the amendments have been produced and the Bill has been reproduced pro forma we have had feedback from the stakeholders. A lot of the exchanges occurred on an informal basis between the Solicitor General and the other members of the committee. Because I have met on a number of occasions with both the Law Society and, more particularly, the Legal Practice Board. I know that they have had enormous input. The Legal Practice Board wrote to us as late as two weeks ago - that was our most recent correspondence from the Legal Practice Board - and suggested further amendments, to which we did not agree. It has been a ongoing process. I cannot answer the question more specifically than that.

S.E. Walker has a law firm in Western Australia and was a Politician within Western Australia

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT

SECTION 49

Privileges etc. of Houses

The powers, privileges, and immunities of the Senate and of the House of Representatives, and of the members and the committees of each House, shall be such as are declared by the Parliament, and until declared shall be those of the Commons House of Parliament of the United Kingdom, and of its members and committees, at the establishment of the Commonwealth.

Please Note

The Bill of Rights 1688 (UK)

Plus

The Act of Settlement 1700 (UK)

Are <u>inherent law</u> into Australia by virtue of <u>section 49</u> and the House of Commons

Halsbury's Laws of England 2nd Edition Vol 6 at page 423 par 473 states:

"It is Treason maliciously advisedly and directed by writing or printing to maintain and affirm that any other person or persons has or have any otherwise then according to the Acts relating thereto:"

Section 49

Commonwealth Constitution

"The Acts set out in the statute are:

The Bill of Rights 1688 (1 Will & Mar Sess 2 c2)

Act of Settlement 1700 (12&13 Will 3 c 2)

Union with Scotland 1706 (6 Ann c11 5&6 Ann c8 Ruff)

Halsbury's Laws of England 2nd Edition Vol 6 at page 390 par 435 states:

W

"The subject has peaceful enjoyment of Rights of property, and the freedom of the subject from illegal detention, duress, punishment or taxation, contained in four great charters or statutes which regulate the relations between the Crown and the People"

Magna Carta (1297) 25EDW 1

Petition of Rights (1627) 2 CAR 1 c 1

Bill of Rights (1688) 1 Will & Mary Sess 2 c 2

Act of Settlement (1700) 12&13 Will 3 c 2

Religious Leaders

Concealment of treason

The Following Religious Leaders were named in the affidavit signed and lodged with the Office of the Full Court, Supreme Court State of Victoria on 23 December 2009 affirmed by Brian Shaw

Clause 31of Affidavit

	G .
Mr Graham Laidlaw	Werribee (Vic)
Mr Graham Harris	Werribee (Vic)
Mr Malcolm MacLeod	Werribee (Vic)
Mr Erin Shaw	Werribee (Vic)
Mr Max Bower	Werribee (Vic)
Mr Stuart Robinson	Blackburn (Vic)
Mr Ross Bourdon	Ballarat (Vic)
Margaret Court	Perth (WA)
Mr. Phillip Baker	Perth (WA)
Mr. Danny Natliah	Melbourne (Vic)
Mr Mark Wilkinson	Werribee (Vic)

All have concealed what has occurred

21 June 2010

PRIFICE PAIN 13.
MISPRISON & TREASON 62

Shire Council

Werribee / Wyndham

State of Victoria

Commonwealth of Australia

The following Councillors were each given a full detailed package containing the full information relating to this criminal activity during February 2010

- 1. Cr Shane Bourke
- 2. Cr Heather Marcus (Mayor)
- 3. Cr Marie Brittan
- 4. Cr Mark Rose
- 5. Cr Cynthia Manson
- 6. Cr John Menegazzo
- 7. Cr Bob Fairclough
- 8. Cr Glenn Goodfellow
- 9. Cr Kim McAliney

All have concealed what has occurred

DREFER TO PAUL 13

Halsbury's Laws of England 2nd
Edition Vol 6 at page 425 par 477
states:

"In Treason there are no accessories either before or after the fact, all such persons being principals"

"The Law of Treason"

Treason is "Breach of Allegiance"

R Hawke

Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs

Committee activities (inquiries and reports)

Title

Aspects of Section 44 of the Australian Constitution - Subsections 44(i) and (iv)

Increased likelihood of litigation

2.7 It is possible that there will be an increasing number of challenges under the provision. Professor Tony Blackshield drew attention to threats made against a number of members of parliament in the 1980s on the basis that they were disqualified under subsection 44(i). After one election 35 members of parliament, and after another election 57 members, were alleged to be disqualified. The then Prime Minister, Hon RJL Hawke was one of those said to be disqualified on the grounds that he had been made an honorary citizen of Israel.

Professor A R Blackshield, Transcript, p. 271/6.

Senate Standing Committee Paper

65

ELECTOR

"The concealed agenda is the abolition of all private ownership under the auspices of the United Nations"

2008

The Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia

THE SENATE

Presented and read a first time

Plebiscite for an Australian Republic Bill 2008

No. , 2008 Senator Bob Brown (Senator Bob Brown)

A Bill for an Act to require a plebiscite on whether Australia should become a republic

Please Note:

There was no Plebiscite used at the last attempt to gain a Republic on 6th November 1999

The Plebiscite is a Trick

THE FIRST DRAFT

OF.A

CONSTITUTION

FOR THE SOVEREIGN NATION

OF

AUSTRALIA

(Annotated)

A GRASS ROOTS APPROACH

BOOK ONE

© The Foundation for National Renewal/Charles S Mollison 2008

ISBN 978-0-9757507-2-8 (Soft Cover) ISBN 978-0-9757507-3-5 (Case Bound)

This document has been prepared by The Foundation for National Renewal. It is very much a work in progress and after wide public discussion and amendment as required it should be adopted by the people at a plebiscite to provide a blueprint for gradual Constitutional change.

Plebiscite

The Foundation for National Renewal

A Constitutional Research and Development Corporation ABN 67 078 067 960 ACN 095 238 289

Chairman: Lieutenant Colonel Charles S Mollison (Reid)

Registered Office: 20 Carmody Rd

St Lucia, Qld, 4067

Email:

constitution@national-renewal.org.au

Phone: 07 5442 1589, Fax: 07 5442 1587

Web: www.national-renewal.org.au

Post Mail:

PO Box 82

Woombye, Qid, 4559

This document is entirely a Socialist-Communist agenda for Australia

Website Download www.national-renewal.org.au 160 pages

Communist Manifesto

- 1. Abolition of property in land and application of all rents of land to public purposes.
- 2. A heavy progressive or graduated income tax.
- 3. Abolition of all rights of inheritance.
- 4. Confiscation of the property of all emigrants and rebels.
- 5. Centralisation of credit in the hands of the state, by means of a national bank with State capital and an exclusive monopoly.
- 6. Centralisation of the means of communication and transport in the hands of the State.
- 7. Extension of factories and instruments of production owned by the State; the bringing into cultivation of waste-lands, and the improvement of the soil generally in accordance with a common plan.
- 8. Equal liability of all to work. Establishment of industrial armies especially for agriculture
- 9. Combination of agriculture with manufacturing industries; gradual abolition of all the distinction between town and country by a more equable distribution of the populace over the country.
- 10. Free education for all children in public schools. Abolition of children's factory labour in its present form, Combination of education with industrial production

Letter to: 25th June 2010

Senator Steve Fielding

Victorian Senator

After: The Appointment of Julia

Gillard on 24 June 2010

Copy to: All Senate Members within the

Commonwealth of Australia

Mr Steve Fielding

Senator for the State of Victoria

Senator in the Senate (Parliament of the Commonwealth)

Leader and Whip of the Family First Party

Electorate Office:

255 Blackburn Road

Phone: (03) 9802 1922

Mount Waverley Vic 3149

Fax: (03) 9802 8236

25th June 2010

Dear Steve

This letter to you results from the discussion that we had in Liz Munday's home on the evening of 10 June 2010 at her home in Point Cook, Victoria

My Question to you was:

"When Western Australia took the Crown out of law within Western Australia how did it get around the referendum requirements?"

Your reply was:

"I do not know because this is news to me"

We agreed

That I write to you outlining this fact and you would reply to that particular correspondence and issue

D

Acts Amendment and Repeal (Courts and Legal Practice Act 2063 (WA)

Steve I have attached Part 8 - "Amendments about the Crown" of this particular Act out of Western Australia, the Act reveals exactly what I said to you, it includes the enactment and clearly reveals the unlawful removal

The Oath of Allegiance

Part 8 – is only portion of the actual Act but within Part 8, the <u>Oath of Allegiance</u> is either removed entirely or substituted at sections 122, 125.4, 125.8, 126.2, 130.3, 130.9, and 130.12 of the offending Act



The Criminal Offence of Treason

This particular offence in law activates when the "Oath of Allegiance" is breached as stated in R v Casement 1917 Kings Bench (1 KB 98 at 114)

In Victoria

You are a current Senator within the State of Victoria, where an act of Treason was committed on 5th September 2000 by purported legislation enacted and assented titled "Courts and Tribunals Legislation (Further Amendment Act 2000", by such an Act the "Oath of Allegiance was removed" from the Legal Practice Act 1996 (Vic) at Part 2 of such offending Act

Julia Gillard

On the evening of 10th June 2010 at Altona I handed you a 57 page bound document titled "Australia – the Constitution and the Law of Treason" at page 6,

I showed you the criminal charge concerning Julia Gillard, signed off by the Melbourne Magistrates

Court, returnable 29th January 2007

The Other Defendants

Julia Gillard is only one of <u>54 defendants</u> that have been formally charged by <u>Private</u>

<u>Prosecution</u> right and presented to the Melbourne Magistrates Court, after which all defendants have been <u>filed for Grand Jury hearings</u> at the Full Court of the Supreme Court of Victoria. <u>All remain pending</u>

Commonwealth Constitution - Section 44

This particular section is the disqualification section, at <u>section 44(ii)</u>, any person; "Attainted of Treason" is incapable of sitting or of being chosen

The Western Australia Senators

After the unlawful removal of the United Kingdom Crown, the Oath of Allegiance and the Constitutional Monarch from specific law within Western Australia, all Western Australia Senators concealed this fact from their respective electors, because no referendum was held in accordance with "section 73" of the Western Australia Constitution, which would have activated "section 128" of the Supreme and superior Constitution, The Commonwealth Constitution Act 1900

Sperion 128 (Commonwanty)

W

The Victorian Senators

After the unlawful enactment of the "Courts and Tribunals Legislation (Further Amendment Act 2000 (Vic)" on 5 September 2000 removing the "Oath of Allegiance" from the Legal Practice Act 1996 (Vic) an Act of Treason was committed by all Politicians sitting within the Parliament of Victoria, inclusive of the Attorney General of Victoria Mr Rob Hulls who introduced the actual act of treason and had the respective Parliament agree with the Treason intent

Criminal Code Act 1995 (Commonwealth)

This particular Act at section 80 of such Act states that the penalty for committing Treason is life imprisonment, the same section states that the penalty for concealing such Treason is life imprisonment

The Law of Treason

In the legal matter of Sykes v Director of Public Prosecutions

All England Law Reports 1961, 3 ALLER 33 (at 36)

"Concealment of Treason was itself Treason"

All England Law Reports 1961, 3 ALLER 33 (at 41)

"Misprison requires nothing active the failure or refusal to disclose the felony is enough"

Halsbury's Laws of England 2nd Edition Vol 6 at page 425 par 477 states:

"In Treason there are no accessories either before or after the fact, all such persons being principals"

Byrne v Armstrong (1899) 25 VLR 126 (at 132)

"In the case of Treason it must be the finding of the Grand Jury"

The Altona By-Election (13 Feb 2010)

Jill Hennessy the Labor candidate was the purported winner of such election but, Jill Hennessy, a Lawyer within Victoria never revealed to the Altona electorate that the "Oath of Allegiance" had been removed unlawfully from the Legal Practice Act 1996 in Victoria. Jill Hennessy never revealed that the Federal Member for the Seat of Lalor (Includes Altona) Julia Gillard also a Lawyer had been criminally charged for Treason by concealing the removal of the Crown and Oath of Allegiance from Western Australia in the period 2003-2004

Jill Hennessy and Julia Gillard

Both women have been formally named and identified as being involved in the criminal offence of Treason and the Concealment of such Treason, both women belong to the Labor Party. The matter is in the Supreme Court

The Supreme Court (Victoria)

Steve, in the 57 page document I gave you at Liz Munday's home on 10th June 2010 at page 29 of such document, a Question of Fact appears relating to the time delay concerning legal process, because at present the Full Court of the Supreme Court with the Sheriff of Victoria, Robyn White has not empanelled any Grand Jury whatsoever, even though both the Full Court and Robyn White have knowledge of the Treason that has been committed and continues to be committed

The New Prime Minister (Julia Gillard)

On the 24th June 2010 a new Prime Minister was installed, not elected.

That person was Julia Gillard, one of the 54 Defendants charged by Private Prosecution.

Julia Gillard was formally returned to the Melbourne Magistrates Court on the 29th January 2007 after which Grand Jury process began to hear and determine the charge. Such charge remains pending, but is blocked by judicial corruption

The Disqualification of Julia Gillard and Others

Section 44 (ii) of the Commonwealth Constitution Act 1900 disqualifies Julia Gillard from sitting in the Commonwealth Parliament or of being chosen to sit

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT SECTION 44 - DISQUALIFICATION

Any person who:

(ii) is attainted of treason, or has been convicted and is under sentence, or subject to be sentenced, for any offence punishable under the law of the Commonwealth or of a State by imprisonment for one year or longer; or

shall be incapable of being chosen or of sitting as a senator or a member of the House of Representatives.

The Grand Jury (State of Victoria)

All Applications to the Full Court of the Supreme Court of Victoria are lodged for a Grand Jury to hear and determine the concealment of what has happened in Western Australia in the period 2003-2004 when the Parliament and the Supreme Court of Western Australia removed the <u>United Kingdom Crown</u>, the <u>Constitutional Monarch Oueen Elizabeth II</u> and the <u>Oath of Allegiance</u> from stated law within Western Australia without valid and correct "Manner and Form", that is neither a <u>State referendum</u> for Western Australia nor a <u>Commonwealth referendum</u> was held in this period 2003-2004. Everybody did what they were not able to do without the required referendums

The Criminal Offences (Compunding)

Three specific criminal and civil offences have occurred because of this Constitutional omission within the State of Western Australia and the Commonwealth, specifically <u>Fraud</u> (the concealing of a material fact) <u>Treason</u> (breach of allegiance) and <u>Misprision of Treason</u> (concealment of treason)

Attainted of Treason (Disqualification)

These are the words that are found in section 44(ii) of the Commonwealth Constitution Act 1900, the superior Act within the Commonwealth of Australia. Attainted of Treason does not mean Convicted of Treason – Attainted is enough to activate disqualification

The Governor General (Quentin Bryce)

On 24th June 2010 the current Governor General in collusion with Julia Gillard did commit a public act of Treason, in that they affirmed an oath that is not a valid Constitutional Oath, without lawful authority to substitute the Oath

Invalid Affirmation

"I, Julia Eileen Gillard, do solemnly and sincerely affirm and declare that I will well and truly serve the Commonwealth of Australia, her land and her people in the office of Prime Minister."

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT SECTION 42

Every senator and every member of the House of Representatives shall before taking his seat make and subscribe before the Governor-General, or some person authorised by him, an oath or affirmation of allegiance in the form set forth in the schedule to this Constitution.

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT SCHEDULE

Affirmation

I, A.B., do solemnly and sincerely affirm and declare that I will be faithful and bear true allegiance to Her Majesty <u>Oueen Elizabeth II</u>, Her heirs and successors according to law.

Crimes Act 1958 - SECT 316 (Victoria)

Unlawful oaths to commit treason, murder etc

- (2) Every person who-
 - (a) <u>administers</u> or is <u>present at</u> and <u>consents to</u> the administering of any oath or engagement in the nature of an oath purporting to bind the person who takes it to <u>commit treason</u> or murder; or
 - (b) takes any such oath or engagement not being compelled to do so; or
- (c) induces or attempts to induce any person to take any such oath or engagementshall be guilty of an indictable offence, and shall be liable to level 5 imprisonment (10 years maximum).
- (2) Every person who-
- (a) administers or is present at and consents to the administering of any oath or engagement in the nature of an oath purporting to bind the person who takes it to act in any of the ways following (that is to say):-
 - (i) to engage in any mutinous or seditious enterprise;
 - (ii) to commit any indictable offence other than treason or murder;
 - (iii) to disturb the public peace;

- (iv) to be of any association society or confederacy formed for the purpose of doing any such act as aforesaid;
- (v) to obey the order or commands of any committee or body of men not lawfully constituted or of any <u>leader</u> or commander or other person not having authority by law for that purpose;
- (vi) not to inform or give evidence against any associate confederate or other person;
- (vii) not to reveal or discover any unlawful association society or confederacy or any illegal act done or to be done or any illegal oath or engagement that may have been administered or tendered to or taken by himself or any other person or the import of any such oath or engagement; or
- (b) takes any such oath or engagement not being compelled to do so; or
- (c) induces or attempts to induce any person to take any such oath or engagementshall be guilty of an indictable offence, and shall be liable to level 6 imprisonment (5 years maximum).

Supreme Court of Western Australia

On 1st January 2004 the <u>Supreme Court of Western Australia</u> agreed with the Parliament of Western Australia, the Governor of Western Australia and the Attorney General of Western Australia to <u>remove Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the second</u> and <u>Her Subjects</u> from law within the Supreme Court of the State

Acts Amendment and Repeal Courts and Legal Practice Act 2003 (WA)

Section 130

(1) The amendments in this section are to the Supreme Court Act 1935*.

Section 130 (3)

Section 9(1) is amended by deleting "Her Majesty" and inserting instead — "the Governor".

Section 130 (9)

Section 119 is amended by deleting "to Her Majesty or any of her subjects, or other persons entitled in like manner as subjects".

Section 130 (10)

Section 154(1) is amended by deleting "Her Majesty's" and inserting instead — "The ".

Section 130 (12)

The Second Schedule is amended by deleting "our Sovereign Lady Queen Elizabeth the Second, Her Heirs and successors" and inserting instead — "the State of Western Australia"

The Words of the Charge on Julia Gillard (Presented 29 January 2007)

On 1st January 2004, the Government of Western Australia at Perth, Western Australia, inclusive of the Executive Legislature and Judicial arms, in agreement with "the Commonwealth", did enact an overt Act, titled, "Acts Amendment and Repeal Courts and Legal Practice Act 2003 WA".

By such enactment an Act of Treason was committed.

Such Treason has been concealed by the defendant, since the date of enactment up to and inclusive of the present date

Treason and Concealment of Treason

The twin criminal offences carry Life Imprisonment respectively

Altona Victoria (The Concealment)

Julia Gillard has stated that she will not be moving from her home in Altona Victoria into the Lodge.

On 13 February 2010 the Altona State seat was voted on by the electors of the seat of Altona, which includes Julia Gillard's Federal Seat of Lalor.

All candidates in that State election, plus the <u>Victorian Electoral Commissioner</u>, plus the Labor party <u>State Premier Mr Brumby</u> were formally given by private prosecution right their charge of concealing Treason, currently being committed by Julia Gillard and others – <u>their respective charges</u> are now added to the <u>Grand Jury process</u> when it begins

Supreme Court of Victoria (Justice David Beach)

During March 2010 the Supreme Court of Victoria in the person of <u>Justice David Beach</u> did conceal all indictable offences relating to the <u>Altona By-Election</u> and the criminal offences <u>involving</u> <u>Julia Gillard</u>

Shire Councillors (Victoria)

All Councillors sitting in the <u>Hobson Bay Council</u> and the <u>Wyndham Council</u> have over a period been informed in writing individually concerning the criminal breach of both State and Commonwealth Constitutions. <u>All have concealed the matter</u>; accordingly they now pass into the Grand Jury Process

Supreme Court of Victoria

On 5th September 2000 the <u>Oath of Allegiance</u> was removed from the <u>Legal Practice Act 1996</u> within Victoria involving and implicating all <u>Officers of the Supreme Court</u> which is the primary reason why the Full Court of the Supreme Court of Victoria is blocking the Grand Jury Applications being heard. This is <u>Judicial Corruption</u>

Lawyers in Victoria

With the <u>Oath of allegiance</u> removed from the <u>Legal Practice Act 1996</u> on 5th September 2000 all lawyers are involved and implicated, inclusive of <u>Julia Gillard</u> and <u>Jill Hennessy</u>, both women are lawyers

High Court Commonwealth of Australia

Steve, it is legally impossible for the High Court to exercise any jurisdiction whatsoever in relation to this matter because of the fact that Chief Justice French came to the High Court Bench from Western Australia after the Crown was removed

The only function permitted to the High Court is for the High Court to order the Full Court of the Supreme Court of Victoria to hear all Grand Jury lodgements currently sitting in the office of such Court pending hearing

Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act - Section 80

Trial by jury

The trial on indictment of any offence against any law of the Commonwealth shall be by jury, and every such trial shall be held in the State where the offence was committed, and if the offence was not committed within any State the trial shall be held at such place or places as the Parliament prescribes.

Indictment

Because of the Political and Judicial corruption involving all Public Prosecutors that this correspondence has discovered to you, the only fair and legal manner to obtain the Indictment that activates section 80 of the Commonwealth Constitution is from a Grand Jury of 23 Electors, which is the only legal manner open to prosecute the twin criminal offences of Treason (Breach of Allegiance) and Misprision of Treason (The Concealment of such Treason)

Foreign Power Agenda (A Concealed Agenda)

Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act

Section 44 -Disqualification

Any person who:

(i) is under any acknowledgment of allegiance, obedience, or adherence to a foreign power, or is a subject or a citizen or entitled to the rights or privileges of a subject or a citizen of a foreign power; or

shall be incapable of being chosen or of sitting as a senator or a member of the House of Representatives.

Kim Beasley's Statement

"The United Nations has given Federal Government a Mandate of ownership for housing, farms, property and business to Government Control, once the Republic has been proclaimed".

Freemasonry Agenda

15. Besides this we shall, with the introduction of the new republican constitution, take from the Chamber the right of interpolation on government measures, on the pretext of preserving political secrecy, and, further, we shall by the new constitution reduce the number of representatives to a minimum, thereby proportionately reducing political passions and the passion for politics. If, however, they should, which is hardly to be expected, burst into flame, even in this minimum, we shall nullify them by a stirring appeal and a reference to the majority of the whole people ... Upon the president will depend the appointment of presidents and vice-presidents of the Chamber and the Senate. Instead of constant sessions of Parliaments we shall reduce their sittings to a few months. Moreover, the president, as chief of the executive power, will have the right to summon and dissolve Parliament, and, in the latter case, to prolong the time for the appointment of a new parliamentary assembly. But in

order that the consequences of all these acts which in substance are illegal, should not, prematurely for our plans, upon the responsibility established by use of the president, WE SHALL INSTIGATE MINISTERS AND OTHER OFFICIALS OF THE HIGHER ADMINISTRATION ABOUT THE PRESIDENT TO EVADE HIS DISPOSITIONS BY TAKING MEASURES OF THEIR OWN, for doing which they will be made the scapegoats in his place ... This part we especially recommend to be given to be played by the Senate, the Council of State, or the Council of Ministers, but not to an individual official.

The Commonwealth Parliament

Steve, you now have primary written notice, you must table this letter plus the document that you were handed on the evening of 10 June 2101 into the Parliament of the Commonwealth immediately upon receipt and reply in writing after you have done this.

This matter that you now have primary and written knowledge of now becomes urgent because of the events of last week and the Fraud – both Political and Judicial that is now present involving all Australians

Respectfully

Brian Shaw

PO Box 800 Werribee Victoria 3030

Email: brianshaw@elijahschallenge.net

Website: www.elijahschallenge.net

<u>Attachments</u> Bound Document - The Constitution and the Law of Treason Copies to all current members of the Senate and Others

Senator	rs for Victoria	June 2010	Express Post Nu	ımber
1.	Senator the Hon K. J. Ca Minister for Innovation, 62 Lygon Street, Carlton	Industry, Science and Research	081796642094	
2.	Senator J. M. A. Collins Ground Floor 1013 Whitehorse Road,		081796641097	⟨€
3.	Senator the Hon S. M. C Deputy Leader of the Go PO Box 1067, Epping M	overnment in the Senate	081796640090	
4.	Senator D.I. Feeney 240 Sydney Road, Cobu	urg VIC 3058	081796639094	
5.	Senator S. Fielding Leader and Whip of the 255 Blackburn Road, M	Family First Party Iount Waverley VIC 3149	081796638097	œ.
6.	Senator M. P. Fifield 42 Florence Street, Men	ntone VIC 3194	081796734096	2.0
7.	Senator H. Kroger PO Box 8154, Burwood	i East VIC 3151	081796732092	9
8.	Senator G. M. Marshall 376 Victoria Street, Nor	rth Melbourne VIC 3051	081796733099	
9.	Senator J. J. J. McGaura Suite 17, Collins Place, 45 Collins Street, Melbo		081796731095	
10	. Senator the Hon M. Rot Level 17, 90 Collins Str Melbourne VIC 3000		081796174090	3
11	. Senator S.M. Ryan PO Box 556, Moonee P	Ponds VIC 3039	081796173093	4
₁₂ 12	Senator the Hon J. M. T Level 9, 601 Bourke St Melbourne VIC 3000		081796172096	

Correspondence

Tony Abbot
And
Kevin Rudd



Federal Member for Warringah

Mr Brian Shaw PO Box 800 WERRIBEE VIC 3030

2 3 MA

Dear Mr Shaw

Thank you for taking the time to write to me.

The Opposition I lead will be an alternative, not an echo. I want us to be a fair dinkum Opposition, determined to scrutinize the government and to hold it to account. I also want our policies to demonstrate that we are a credible alternative government.

The Coalition is currently finalising its policies in anticipation of the upcoming election and is taking into account the views that are put to us. I have noted your comments and thank you for making the effort to share them with me.

I would encourage you to remain engaged with my office over the coming months via my internet comment form, available on my website http://www.tonyabbott.com.au/. This is also where you can sign up to receive my e-news updates.

Yours sincerely

TONY ABBOTT

- Expect Post -

Tony Abbott

Manly Office

Level 2, 17 Sydney Road

Tel: (02) 9977 6411

Manly NSW 2095

Fax: (02) 9977 8715

PO Box 450 Manly NSW 2095

5 May 2010

Mr Tony Abbott

Attached to this letter is one document titled:

"The Constitution and the Law of Treason"

The criminal offence of Treason filed on Julia Gillard is on page 6 of the document, the legal definition of Treason is on page 9, and the entire content of section 44 of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 is quoted on page 10

The removal of the Crown, the Oath of Allegiance and the Constitutional Monarch is set out on pages 14 to 21 in addition the penalty of the criminal offences of Treason and concealing such Treason is set out at page 24

You need to reply by post

With Respect

Brian Shaw PO Box 800

Werribee Victoria 3030

Email:

brianshaw@elijahschallenge.net

OI

brianwshaw@gmail.com

Website:

www.elijahschallene.net



0815/1836098. Extern Part -Copy-

Kevin Rudd

Morningside Office:

630 Wynnum Road

Tel: (07) 3899 4031

Morningside Qld 4170

Fax: (07) 3899 5755

PO Box 476 Morningside Qld 4170

5 May 2010

Mr Kevin Rudd

Attached to this letter is one document titled:

"The Constitution and the Law of Treason"

The criminal offence of Treason filed on Julia Gillard is on page 6 of the document, the legal definition of Treason is on page 9, and the entire content of section 44 of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 is quoted on page 10

The removal of the Crown, the Oath of Allegiance and the Constitutional Monarch is set out on pages 14 to 21 in addition the penalty of the criminal offences of Treason and concealing such Treason is set out at page 24

You need to reply by post

With Respect

Brian Shaw PO Box 800

Werribee Victoria 3030

Email:

brianshaw@elijahschallenge.net

OI

brianwshaw@gmail.com

Website:

www.elijahschallene.net



AFFIDAVIT

Affirmed by Brian Shaw 16th April 2010

Concerning the
Commonwealth Constitution
and the States

In Particular:

Clause 6(k) of such affidavit

"The principal element in the process is the submission of the proposal to the electors"

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA AT MELBOURNE BEFORE THE COURT OF APPEAL

No. 9997 of 2006

IN THE MATTER of an application pursuant to s, 21 of the Supreme Court Act 1986 BETWEEN:

BRIAN WILLIAM SHAW

Appellant

- and -

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF VICTORIA

Respondent

AFFIDAVIT

Date of document:

16 K April 2010

Filed on behalf of:

Appellant

Prepared by:

Brian William Shaw

280 Leakes Road

Tel: No 03 93941116

Truganina Victoria 3030

I, Brian William Shaw, farmer of 280 Leakes Road, Truganina 3030 in the State of Victoria, do state and affirm the following:

- I state in this affidavit that Julia Gillard the current Deputy Prime Minister of the Commonwealth of Australia currently holding the Federal seat of Lalor situated at Werribee in the State of Victoria was charged by private prosecution, such charge was heard in the Melbourne Magistrates Court on 29th January 2007
- 2. I state in this affidavit that, the criminal charge involving the criminal offence of concealing Treason in that Julia Gillard had knowledge that the State of Western Australia, a State of the Commonwealth of Australia by Federation had unlawfully and illegally removed the Crown, the Oath of Allegiance and the Monarch from specific law within Western Australia without the knowledge or consent of the electors of the State of Western Australia or the electors of the Commonwealth of Australia in constitutional breach of section 73 of the Western Australia.
- 3. I state in this affidavit that the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia has been in legal position as from date of Federation of the Colonies, such Colonies achieved the legal status of States after Federation which occurred July 9 1900

Page 1 of 5

Theyardh Campbell

- 4. I state in this affidavit that the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia is a written legal agreement between the United Kingdom and Crown of such country and the People of the Commonwealth of Australia as at July 9 1900
- 5. I state in this affidavit that the only legal manner available to either alter or amend such agreement is found at section 128 of such written agreement. Section 128 demands alteration or amendment to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia must be in accordance with the provisions set out within section 128 of such agreement
- 6. I state in this affidavit that at page 988/989 of the Annotated Constitution of the Commonwealth (Quick & Garran) in relation to alteration it is stated
 - a. "In the Constitution of the Commonwealth of course there is no absolute sovereignty, but a quasi-sovereignty which resides in the people of the Commonwealth, who may express their will on constitutional questions through a majority of the electors voting and a majority of the States. No amendment of the Constitution can be made without the concurrence of "that double majority"—a majority within a majority."
 - b. "The Federal Parliament, however, is not authorized to amend the Constitution of the Commonwealth."
 - c. "If the Federal Legislature could change the Constitution it might transform itself from a subordinate law-making body into an organ of sovereignty; it might destroy the federal system altogether, and substitute a consolidated form of government."
 - d. "A Federal Legislature is a mere creature of the Federal Constitution; it is mere instrument or servant of a federal community; it is an agent, not a master."
 - e. "The Constitution is the master of the legislature, and the community itself is the author of the Constitution"
 - f. "Sovereignty resides in that person, or body, or class of persons in whom is ultimately vested the power to amend a Constitution of Government."
 - g. "A Constitution is a charter of government; it is a deed of trust, containing covenants between the sovereign community and its individual units."

Page 2 of 5

MaynuthCampbull

- h. "Where a community is founded on a political compact it is only fair and reasonable that that compact should be protected, not only against the designs of those who wish to disturb it by introducing revolutionary projects, but also against the risk of thoughtless tinkering and theoretical experiments."
- i. "The Constitution is that part of the Imperial Act comprehended in Clause 9 and divided into chapters, parts, and sections, the sections being numbered from 1 to 128 inclusive. The Schedule also is a part of the Constitution. Clauses 1 to 8 of the Imperial Act are not parts of the Constitution, and cannot be altered except by the Imperial Parliament"
- j. "It becomes the duty of the Executive Government to submit the proposed amendment to the popular vote throughout the Commonwealth, and it cannot become law unless it is approved by a majority of the electors voting and by a majority of the States. This means a double majority." (At page 992).
- k. "The principal element in the process is the submission of the proposal to the electors." (At page 993)
- "The requirement of the approval of a majority of the electors and a majority
 of the States is the method imposed by the Constitution for ascertaining the
 will of the people of the Federal Commonwealth." (At page 993)
- m. "If a majority of the States had been ignored, the federal element in the structure of the Commonwealth would have been impaired and whittled away. In a unified community it would be sufficient if a majority of the people sanctioned a revision of the Constitution In a federal community, in which the National and State elements co-exist, a modification of the fundamental law, without the approval of both the people and the States, would be unjust and repugnant to the whole scheme of government." (At page 993)
- n. "The necessity of the Queen's assent is a sufficient guarantee that amendments will not be made inconsistent with the supremacy of the Imperial Parliament."
 (At page 994)
- o. "no law can be passed by the amending power which is repugnant to the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act—consisting of the preamble and the covering clauses to which the Constitution itself is annexed. The amending power can amend the Constitution, but the Constitution Act is above its reach." (At page 994)

Link

Page 3 of 5

Mugawith Campbul

- p. "but it is certain that, if amendments were passed which were inconsistent with such words as "indissoluble," "Federal Commonwealth," or "under the Crown," strong arguments would be available against their constitutionality." (At page 994)
- 7. I state in this affidavit that portion of section 128 of the Commonwealth Constitution Act states:

"No alteration diminishing the proportionate representation of any State in either House of the Parliament, or the minimum number of representatives of a State in the House of Representatives, or increasing, diminishing, or otherwise altering the limits of the State, or in any manner affecting the provisions of the Constitution in relation thereto, shall become law unless the majority of the electors voting in that State approve the proposed law."

8. I state in this affidavit that this constitutional requirement is established constitutional law at section 128 and section 106 of the Commonwealth Constitution Act. Section 106 states:

Saving of Constitutions

"The Constitution of each State of the Commonwealth shall, subject to this Constitution, continue as at the establishment of the Commonwealth, or as at the admission or establishment of the State, as the case may be, until altered in accordance with the Constitution of the State."

9. I state in this affidavit that section 109 of the Commonwealth Constitution Act deals with invalidity relating to inconsistency. Section 109 states:

Inconsistency of laws

"When a law of a State is inconsistent with a law of the Commonwealth, the latter shall prevail, and the former shall, to the extent of the inconsistency, be invalid."

- 10. I state in this affidavit that:
 - a. A law of the Federal Parliament outside the Legislative Powers of the Commonwealth would be No Law
 - b. The Constitution of each State is subject to the Constitution Act of the Commonwealth

Page 4 of 5: Muyauth Camplu 91

- c. The Legislative Power of each State is subject to the Constitution Act of the Commonwealth
- d. Section 109 places beyond doubt the principal that the Federal Constitution and laws passed by the Federal Parliament, in pursuance of that Constitution prevail over the State Constitutions and the State laws passed by the State Parliaments, in pursuance of the State Constitutions
- e. A law of the Commonwealth means a valid law, a law passed by the Federal Parliament outside the scope of its authority would be no law at all
- 11. I state in this affidavit that the law titled "Acts Amendment and Repeal Courts and Legal Practice Act 2003 (WA)" is a Constitutional breach of the Western Australia Constitution in addition to a Constitutional breach of the Commonwealth Constitution Act 1900 compounding into criminal offences against the two Constitutions.
- 12. I state in this affidavit that Julia Gillard is disqualified from either House of the Parliament of the Commonwealth, in addition the criminal charge both filed and served remains pending Grand Jury determination. The application for Grand Jury was lodged at the Supreme Court, Full Court Criminal Jurisdiction, 29th January 2007 and remains pending

13. I state in this affidavit that the purported abolition of the legal Right in Victoria to have a criminal charge heard by Grand Jury still exists even though the Victorian Parliament and the Supreme Court of Victoria both state that the Right was abolished with the enactment of the Criminal Procedure Bill on 1st January 2010. This Bill is beyond Power

Affirmed by

Brian Shaw

At Welrilie

in the State of Victoria

This

16th Day of March, 2010 MC

Defore me

•

A JUSTICE OF THE PEACE FOR VICTORIA Reg. No. 9924 Margaret May Campbell 7 Muirhead Cres, Werribes 3030



Magistrates Court General Regulations 170/1990 Form 7 Charge and Summons

(Bring this with you to Court)

TO THE DEFENDANT	
Major General Michael Jeffery Governor General Commonwealth of Australia	
Government House Dunrossil Drive YARRALUMLA ACT 2600	

You have been Charged with an offence against the Law

M F Co	Date of Birth		
Registration No	State		
Licence No	State		

Read both pages to see what you mu		A			
Details of the charges against you		we'th of Australia d	uring the D	eriod from 1st	
What is the charge? The defendant within January 2004 up to a Law Treason by con and Legal Practice) 2004	and inclusive of	present date did of	nendment 8	and Repeal (C	ourts
1 *					
*		×			1
					, l
			7		
	2.				ţ
		7			THE PAR
Under what Law? ☐ State ☐ Act ☐ Cwealth ☐ Reg	Other-specify	Act or Regulation Common Law	No. Section	on or Clause (F Treason	·ull Ker)
Type of offence Summary offence (you sh	ould go to Court)	Indictable offer	nce (you must	go to Court	
Are there more charges ? ☐ No ☐ Yes -see "C	ontinuation of char	ges" attached			
Informant Brian W Shaw					
Agency and Address 280 Leakes Road To	ruganina Victori	a	The No.	09 93941116	
				09 83541110	
Informant Signature			Date		
Where will the case be heard					
Where you must go The *Magistrates' / G		Victoria at Melbourn			
Address 233 William Sreet Me	albourne Victoria		Phone No.		
		Month	- Friorie 140.	Year	- 17
When Time	Day	Mortan	2 5 37		
Details about this summons			Date		
Issued at MELBOURNE			Date		
issued by			☐ Registrar	☐ Magistrate	☐ Other
Signature			Date		
Charge filed at MELBOURNE		~	L		9
ORIGINAL WITH	· ·	COUTINO	N Gi	NERA	1

Continuation of Charges

		Page No 2
Person Charged	Trops	on by concealing the overt Act titled
The defendant did commit the offence of "Acts Amendment and Repeal (Courts a Territories and Commonwealth during the commonwea	of Common Law Misprison of Treas and Legal Practice) Act 2003 WA" f he period from 1st January 2004 up	rom the Electorate of the States, to and inclusive of present date
** 79	38 (190) So	
		2 1
¥		
	25	9
	Act or Regulation No	Section or Clause (Full Ref)
State Act Other-Specify	Act of Regulation No	**
, and an	Common Law	Misprison of Treason
Cwealth 🗆 Reg		ctable Offence (You must go to Court)
Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you	u must go if you are on ball) 🗵 Indi	ctable Offence (You must go to obs.y
		77
3	*	
19		
II W		
18	146	
	<u>s</u>	
	2	
	= 3	
7		
	Act or Regulation No	Section or Clause (Full Ref)
☐ State ☐ Act ☐ Other- Specify	Act or Regulation No	
	77	1
2		The state of the s
□ C'wealth □ Reg		Of a water go to Court
☐ C'wealth ☐ Reg	you must go if you are on ball) Inc	fictable Offence (You must go to Cour
Summary Offence (You should go to Court but y	you must go if you are on bail) Inc	sictable Offence (You must go to Cour
Summary Offence (You should go to Court but y	you must go if you are on bail) 🔲 📗 inc	iictable Offence (You must go to Cour
☐ Summary Offence (You should go to Court but y Are there more charges? ☐ Yes ☑ No	you must go if you are on bail) 🔲 inc	fictable Offence (You must go to Cou
☐ Summary Offence (You should go to Court but y Are there more charges? ☐ Yes ☑ No Informant		
☐ Summary Offence (You should go to Court but y Are there more charges? ☐ Yes ☑ No Informant Brian W Shaw		Phone No
☐ Summary Offence (You should go to Court but y Are there more charges? ☐ Yes ☑ No Informant Brian W Shaw Agency and Address		
☐ Summary Offence (You should go to Court but y Are there more charges? ☐ Yes ☑ No Informant Brian W Shaw		Phone No 09 93941116
☐ Summary Offence (You should go to Court but y Are there more charges? ☐ Yes ☑ No Informant Brian W Shaw Agency and Address 280 Leakes Road Truganina Victoria	Registra	Phone No 09 93941116
Summary Offence (You should go to Court but y Are there more charges? Yes No Informant Brian W Shaw Agency and Address 280 Leakes Road Truganina Victoria Informant		Phone No 09 93941116
☐ Summary Offence (You should go to Court but y Are there more charges? ☐ Yes ☑ No Informant Brian W Shaw Agency and Address 280 Leakes Road Truganina Victoria	Registra	Phone No 09 93941116

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA FULL COURT; CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

No:

of 2006

IN THE MATTER of the Crimes Act 1958

And

IN THE MATTER of an Application by

Brian William Shaw

APPLICATION FOR GRAND JURY

of 2006 at am by the Applicant for the following Orders pursuant to Section 354 of the Crimes Act 1958, the Applicant having disclosed Indictable offence/offences involving *Treason*, *Misprison of Treason*, *Foreign Allegiances*, *Unlawful Oaths*, but not limited to these Indictable Offences committed by:

Major General Michael Jeffery Governor General Commonwealth of Australia

1. The Sheriff is ordered to summons a Grand Jury to appear at a Court to be holden at a time and place determined by the Court in accordance with the provision of Section 354 of the Crimes Act 1958 (Vic) to attend at such Court at that time and place to inquire present do and execute all things which on the part of "the Queen" shall then and there be commanded of them.

Cott & goewhere Look - Dreamson 2006 -

2. TREASON IS:

"Treason consists of a Breach of duty of Allegiance which the Subject owes to the Sovereign and which binds him at all times and in all places"
[Rex v Casement 1917, 1 KB 98 at 114]

3. CRIMES ACT 1958 VICTORIA – SECTION 351

Mode of prosecution

"All treasons and misprisions of treason shall be prosecuted by indictment only, and all other indictable offences may be prosecuted by indictment or by presentment as hereinafter directed."

4. CRIMES ACT 1958 VICTORIA – SECTION 322E

Treason and misprision of treason not affected

"Nothing in this Part shall be taken to affect directly or indirectly any matter of law or practice applicable to treason or misprision of treason."

5. CRIMES ACT 1958 VICTORIA – SECTION 316

Unlawful oaths to commit treason, murder etc.

- (1) Every person who—
 - (a) Administers or is present at and consents to the administering of any oath or engagement in the nature of an oath purporting to bind the person who takes it to commit treason or murder; or
- (2) Every person who-
 - (a) administers or is present at and consents to the administering of any oath or engagement in the nature of an oath purporting to bind the person who takes it to act in any of the ways following (that is to say):—
 - (ii) To commit any indictable offence other than treason or murder;

(vii) not to reveal or discover any unlawful association society or confederacy or any illegal act done or to be done or any illegal oath or engagement that may have been administered or tendered to or taken by himself or any other person or the import of any such oath or engagement; or

shall be guilty of an indictable offence, and shall be liable to level 6 imprisonment (5 years maximum).

6. CRIMINAL CODE ACT 1995 (CTH)

Chapter 5: The Security of the Commonwealth

Part 5.1 Treason

Division 80 Treason

Penalty: Imprisonment for Life

7. CRIMINAL CODE ACT 1995 (CTH)

Chapter 5: The Security of the Commonwealth

Part 5.1 Treason

Division 80 Concealment or Assistance

- (2) A person commits an offence if the person:
 - (a) Receives or assists another person who, to his or her knowledge, has committed treason with the intention of allowing him or her to escape punishment or apprehension; or
 - (b) Knowing that another person intends to commit treason, does not inform a constable of it within a reasonable time or use other reasonable endeavours to prevent the commission of the offence.

Penalty: Imprisonment for Life

8. JUDICIARY ACT 1903 (CTH) SECTION 80

Common law to govern

So far as the laws of the Commonwealth are not applicable or so far as their provisions are insufficient to carry them into effect, or to provide adequate remedies or punishment, the common law in Australia as modified by the Constitution and by the statute law in force in the State or Territory in which the Court in which the jurisdiction is exercised is held shall, so far as it is applicable and not inconsistent with the Constitution and the laws of the Commonwealth, govern all Courts exercising federal jurisdiction in the exercise of their jurisdiction in civil and criminal matters.

- TREASON & MISPRISON OF TREASON:
 Must be by Grand Jury Exclusively
 - a. Byrne V Armstrong (1899) 25 VLR 126 (The Indictment)

 "That section contemplates an exparte application founded upon an affidavit disclosing an indictable offence, and that a justice has refused to commit.

 The court has no discretion once those conditions are complied with.

 Section 386 shows that so far as Treason is concerned it must be prosecuted by indictment. The Attorney General could not start such a prosecution. It must be by grand jury." (at 126)
 - b. Byrne V Armstrong (1899) 25 VLR 126 (The Finding)

 "It is provided now that all the prosecutions shall be by presentment, but preserving the prerogative of the Crown through the Attorney General to proceed by information, except in case of 'treason' which has to be by indictment. Now indictment means by Grand Jury and therefore, inasmuch as the statute provides that Treason shall be tried by indictment only. That means that it must be by Grand Jury and no other means is provided for bringing it before a Grand Jury." The finding of the Attorney General is

equivalent to the finds of the Grand Jury, but in the case of Treason it must be the finding of the Grand Jury." (at 132)

(On Behalf of the Crown) McArdle V Lorne Campbell (Sept 1986) "Much has changed since 1940 and in particular the Office of Director of Public Prosecutions has been established by Act No 9848 of 1982. Under that Act the Director of Public Prosecutions is charged with preparing, instituting and conducting criminal proceedings on 'behalf of the Crown.' The Director is responsible to the Attorney General for the due performance of his functions and he is given the power to enter a nolle prosequi in criminal proceedings. But the Attorney-General's power to enter a nolle prosequi is preserved in these circumstances, now that the responsibility for instituting criminal proceedings has been taken out of the hands of the Attorney-General, it may be that there is not the same need to have an alternative method of instituting proceedings. When the Office of Director of Public Prosecutions was established S354 of the Crimes Act was amended to substitute the words 'the Director or Public Prosecutions' for the words 'a law officer.' The section therefore now operates where the Director declines to present."

10. FOREIGN ALLEGIANCE

a. Commonwealth Constitution Act 1900 Section 44 (i)
Disqualification

Any person who:

(i) is under any acknowledgment of allegiance, obedience, or adherence to a foreign power, or is a subject or a citizen or entitled to the rights or privileges of a subject or a citizen of a foreign power; or b. Commonwealth Constitution Act 1900 Section 44 (ii)

Disqualification

Any person who:

(ii) is attainted of treason, or has been convicted and is under sentence, or subject to be sentenced, for any offence punishable under the law of the Commonwealth or of a State by imprisonment for one year or longer; or

11. COMMONWEALTH CONSTITUTION ACT 1900 SECTION 80
Trial by jury [Section 80 Constitutional Guarantee]

"The trial on indictment of any offence against any law of the
Commonwealth shall be by jury, and every such trial shall be held in the
State where the offence was committed, and if the offence was not committed
within any State the trial shall be held at such place or places as the
Parliament prescribes."

12. Such further or other orders that may be just and necessary.

DATED the 15th day of December, 2006

This Application is filed by

Brian W Shaw

280 Leakes Road,


Truganina, 3030 Victoria

GRAND JURY Application for John Howard

Lodged at the Office of the Full Court Supreme Court of Victoria on the 15h Day of December 2006

Officers of such Court rather than hear the Application lodged on the 15th December 2006 have concealed the application

John Howard



Magistrates Court General Regulations 170/1990 Form 7

Charge and Summons

(Bring this with you to Court)

,	Jing and manyou to						
	EFENDANT						e
The Hon John Howard							9
Prime Minister		i		MFC	io 1	Date of E	3irth ·
House of Representatives			ĺτ		î l l	1	1
Parliament House		1	L.,				
Canberra ACT 2600			Г	Regist	ration No		State
Cariberia , to 1 = 5 5			20			- 1	* 4
i i			_				State
You have been Charge	with an offence agains	st the Law		Lice	nce No		State
Pead both D	ages to see what you m	nust do	_				
Read Boat P			1,777				
Details of the	charges against you						
What is the charge ?	The defendant with January 2004 up to Law Treason by co	and inclusive of	pres	ent date did t	mendmen	t and Repea	i (Courts
	and Legal Practice 2004) Act 2003 WA*	enact	ed at Perth V	/estern Au	stralia on 1s	t January
1	ፈርሀተ	255					~ ~
1				ii)	⊗		1
							1
1							
1							
1							
							4
							1
		¥				5 K *	
					<u> </u>		- /Euli Doß
	☐ State ☐ Act [☑ Other-specify		or Regulation	1	tion or Claus	
Under what Law?	☐ Cwealth ☐ Reg		11	Common Law		Treaso	n
1						at an in Court	
Type of offence	Summary offence (you si	hould go to Court)	[-	Indictable offe	nce (you mu	et do to contr	
							3
Are there more charges?	□ No ☑ Yes -see "C	Continuation of charg	es" at	tached			
Ale diale more charges							
Informant	Brian W Shaw	18	-				
	280 Leakes Road T	magnina Victoria	,		į.	8	
Agency and Address	280 Leakes Road 1	Indamina Alorone	-				
,					Phone No	. 09 93941116)
			10.7		Date		
Informant Signature					Date	-	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
The second secon							
Where will the	case be heard						
DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY.	The *Magistrates' / C	bildrend Court of \	Actor	a at Melbourne	2		
Where you must go	The *Magistrates' / G	Allerens Court of	V 10.001 1	det promotern			
Address	233 William Sreet Me	elbourne Victoria		8			
Addicoo					Phone No	kay	
					1710110		
	Time	Day	M	onth		Year	
When	11110	2968)					
Details about	this summons						
Details about	lins summons	The state of the s				2039-25	
issued at	MELBOURNE		/		Date		
issued a	MEEDOOMAL		_				
Issued by	,			Į.	🗌 Registrar	☐ Magistrate	Other
Signature	S	/-			6 E		
- Oignature				T	Data		
Charge filed a	MELBOURNE			1	Date	_,	
	The state of the s	L / 1					
	216 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	~ ()					
W /	/ W/	N,			2	. (s. N	naorras
DAY 1	I'M OS	10	/	MIN	20mg	۱۰ بوسس	
earl ord	and The way			4	10 a	المحصي	A
ed				رف	user !	_ ^	200
/w \ O'			_	15 0	Report	Ser	0000
and the same of th	" >			43 1			

Continuation of Charges

Bring this with you to Court

Person Charge	ad .		î		Page No 2
2 The defen	ndant did c	ed Deposit /Courte	and Lengt Practice) Act	2003 VVA 1	on by concealing the overt Act titled from the Electorate of the States, to and inclusive of present date
			987 - 69 - 8		
	¥		e	E.	+
		# W 2	ř		а 5
☐ State ☐ Ac	t 20	ther- Specify	Act or Regula	tion No	Section or Clause (Full Ref)
☑ C'weaith ☐ Re			Common l	_aw	Misprison of Treason
0.00	-	ould go to Court but yo	ou must go if you are on ball)	2 India	ctable Offence (You must go to Court)
3	****		0 9		5
			ii.		
			80 80 K		
	188				
	*	*		W-	
□ State □ A	dt □C	ther- Specify	Act or Regula	tion No	Section or Clause (Full Ref)
☐ C'wealth ☐ R	eg		3		
Summary Off	fence (You s	riould go to Court but y	ou must go if you are on bail)	□ Indi	ctable Offence (You must go to Court)
Are there more	charges?	☐Yes ☑ No			
Informant Brian W Sha	W	2			
Agency and 280 Leakes	Address Road Trug	anina Victoria	4		hone No 9 93941116
Informant Signature		1 2		Registrar Signature	•
Charge filed a	it	MELBOURNE	V ₁ 4		3.75

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA FULL COURT; CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

No:

of 2006

IN THE MATTER of the Crimes Act 1958

And

IN THE MATTER of an Application by

Brian William Shaw

APPLICATION FOR GRAND JURY

of 2006 at am by the Applicant for the following Orders pursuant to Section 354 of the Crimes Act 1958, the Applicant having disclosed Indictable offence/offences involving *Treason*, *Misprison of Treason*, *Foreign Allegiances*, *Unlawful Oaths*, but not limited to these Indictable Offences committed by:

The Hon John Howard Prime Minister

1. The Sheriff is ordered to summons a Grand Jury to appear at a Court to be holden at a time and place determined by the Court in accordance with the provision of Section 354 of the Crimes Act 1958 (Vic) to attend at such Court at that time and place to inquire present do and execute all things which on the part of "the Queen" shall then and there be commanded of them.

Coly & nowaria Jones 15 Dreembel 2006. M.

2. TREASON IS:

"Treason consists of a Breach of duty of Allegiance which the Subject owes to the Sovereign and which binds him at all times and in all places" [Rex v Casement 1917, 1 KB 98 at 114]

3. CRIMES ACT 1958 VICTORIA – SECTION 351

Mode of prosecution

"All treasons and misprisions of treason shall be prosecuted by indictment only, and all other indictable offences may be prosecuted by indictment or by presentment as hereinafter directed."

4. CRIMES ACT 1958 VICTORIA – SECTION 322E

Treason and misprision of treason not affected

"Nothing in this Part shall be taken to affect directly or indirectly any matter of law or practice applicable to treason or misprision of treason."

5. CRIMES ACT 1958 VICTORIA – SECTION 316

Unlawful oaths to commit treason, murder etc.

- (1) Every person who—
 - (a) Administers or is present at and consents to the administering of any oath or engagement in the nature of an oath purporting to bind the person who takes it to commit treason or murder; or
- (2) Every person who—
 - (a) administers or is present at and consents to the administering of any oath or engagement in the nature of an oath purporting to bind the person who takes it to act in any of the ways following (that is to say):—
 - (ii) To commit any indictable offence other than treason or murder;

(vii) not to reveal or discover any unlawful association society or confederacy or any illegal act done or to be done or any illegal oath or engagement that may have been administered or tendered to or taken by himself or any other person or the import of any such oath or engagement; or

shall be guilty of an indictable offence, and shall be liable to level 6 imprisonment (5 years maximum).

6. CRIMINAL CODE ACT 1995 (CTH)

Chapter 5: The Security of the Commonwealth

Part 5.1 Treason

Division 80 Treason

Penalty: Imprisonment for Life

7. CRIMINAL CODE ACT 1995 (CTH)

Chapter 5: The Security of the Commonwealth

Part 5.1 Treason

Division 80 Concealment or Assistance

- (2) A person commits an offence if the person:
 - (a) Receives or assists another person who, to his or her knowledge, has committed treason with the intention of allowing him or her to escape punishment or apprehension; or
 - (b) Knowing that another person intends to commit treason, does not inform a constable of it within a reasonable time or use other reasonable endeavours to prevent the commission of the offence.

Penalty: Imprisonment for Life

8. JUDICIARY ACT 1903 (CTH) SECTION 80

Common law to govern

So far as the laws of the Commonwealth are not applicable or so far as their provisions are insufficient to carry them into effect, or to provide adequate remedies or punishment, the common law in Australia as modified by the Constitution and by the statute law in force in the State or Territory in which the Court in which the jurisdiction is exercised is held shall, so far as it is applicable and not inconsistent with the Constitution and the laws of the Commonwealth, govern all Courts exercising federal jurisdiction in the exercise of their jurisdiction in civil and criminal matters.

- TREASON & MISPRISON OF TREASON:
 Must be by Grand Jury Exclusively
 - a. Byrne V Armstrong (1899) 25 VLR 126 (The Indictment)

 "That section contemplates an exparte application founded upon an affidavit disclosing an indictable offence, and that a justice has refused to commit.

 The court has no discretion once those conditions are complied with.

 Section 386 shows that so far as Treason is concerned it must be prosecuted by indictment. The Attorney General could not start such a prosecution. It must be by grand jury." (at 126)
 - b. Byrne V Armstrong (1899) 25 VLR 126 (The Finding)

 "It is provided now that all the prosecutions shall be by presentment, but preserving the prerogative of the Crown through the Attorney General to proceed by information, except in case of 'treason' which has to be by indictment. Now indictment means by Grand Jury and therefore, inasmuch as the statute provides that Treason shall be tried by indictment only. That means that it must be by Grand Jury and no other means is provided for bringing it before a Grand Jury." The finding of the Attorney General is

equivalent to the finds of the Grand Jury, but in the case of Treason it must be the finding of the Grand Jury." (at 132)

(On Behalf of the Crown) McArdle V Lorne Campbell (Sept 1986) "Much has changed since 1940 and in particular the Office of Director of Public Prosecutions has been established by Act No 9848 of 1982. Under that Act the Director of Public Prosecutions is charged with preparing, instituting and conducting criminal proceedings on 'behalf of the Crown.' The Director is responsible to the Attorney General for the due performance of his functions and he is given the power to enter a nolle prosequi in criminal proceedings. But the Attorney-General's power to enter a nolle prosequi is preserved in these circumstances, now that the responsibility for instituting criminal proceedings has been taken out of the hands of the Attorney-General, it may be that there is not the same need to have an alternative method of instituting proceedings. When the Office of Director of Public Prosecutions was established S354 of the Crimes Act was amended to substitute the words 'the Director or Public Prosecutions' for the words 'a law officer.' The section therefore now operates where the Director declines to present."

10. FOREIGN ALLEGIANCE

a. Commonwealth Constitution Act 1900 Section 44 (i)
Disqualification

Any person who:

(i) is under any acknowledgment of allegiance, obedience, or adherence to a foreign power, or is a subject or a citizen or entitled to the rights or privileges of a subject or a citizen of a foreign power; or b. Commonwealth Constitution Act 1900 Section 44 (ii)
Disqualification

Any person who:

(ii) is attainted of treason, or has been convicted and is under sentence, or subject to be sentenced, for any offence punishable under the law of the Commonwealth or of a State by imprisonment for one year or longer; or

11. COMMONWEALTH CONSTITUTION ACT 1900 SECTION 80
Trial by jury [Section 80 Constitutional Guarantee]

"The trial on indictment of any offence against any law of the Commonwealth shall be by jury, and every such trial shall be held in the State where the offence was committed, and if the offence was not committed within any State the trial shall be held at such place or places as the Parliament prescribes."

Such further or other orders that may be just and necessary.

DATED the 15th day of December, 2006

This Application is filed by

Brian W Shaw

280 Leakes Road,


Truganina, 3030 Victoria

GRAND JURY Application for Damian Bugg

Lodged at the Office of the Full Court Supreme Court of Victoria on the 15h Day of December 2006

Officers of such Court rather than hear the Application lodged on the 15th December 2006 have concealed the application

Damian Bugg



Magistrates Court General Regulations 170/1990 Form 7

Charge and Summons

	EFENDANT	T.	≪ 3 €
amian John BUGG rector Public Prosecution	s Commonwealth	M F Co	Date of Birth
Marcus Clarke Street		M F C0	1 1
anberra ACT 2601		Registration	No State
Markey been Charged	with an offence against the Law	Licence N	lo State
Read both pa	ages to see what you must do		
Details of the	charges against you		
What is the charge?	The defendant during the period at Perth Western Australia did co to the enactment of the Overt Act Legal Practice) Act 2003 WA, the Queen Elizabeth II, Her Heirs, He consent of the People nor the kind The defendant did consent to the 1935 WA into Part 8 of the Acts Act 2003 WA	mmit the offence of confit, titled, Acts Amendment of purpose was to remove or Successors and Her Stowledge of the People of Treason by the inclusion	and Repeal (Courts and and replace Her Majesty ubjects without lawful of the Supreme Court Act (Courts and Legal Practice)
	ğ		× 1#
=			L D Claumo /Full Reft
Under what Law?	☐ State ☐ Act ☐ Other-specify ☐ Cwealth ☐ Reg	Act or Regulation No. Common Law	Section or Clause (Full Ref) Treason
Type of offence	☐ Summary offence (you should go to Court)) Indictable offence (you must go to Court
-			
Are there more charges ?	Brian W Shaw		
Informant	280 Leakes Road Truganina Victo	oria	
Agency and Address	280 Leakes Road Huganina Violo	Pho	one No. 09 93941116
		Dat	
Informant Signature		# WITTERS THE TANK	HERRE THE STATE
Where will the	case be heard		ALL STREET
Where you must go			
Address	233 William Sreet Melbourne Victoria		
			one No.
When	Time Day	Month	
Details about	this summons		
Issued at	MELBOURNE	Da	te
Issued by		□Re	egistrar
Signature		Da	ıte.
Charge filed at	MELBOURNE	1 50	
coff and we	R Course Rs. DAN	11 Mulele	
OFID			ş. **

Revised 05/01

Continuation of Charges

			Page No 2
Person Charged That during the period 1st January 2004 up	s to and inclusive of p	resent time	at Perth Western Australia, the
That during the period 1st January 2004 up defendant did commit the offence of treason by agreeing to the enactment of the Overt Practice) Act 2003 WA, the purpose was the Successors and Her Subjects without The defendant did consent to the Treason the Acts Amendment and Repeal (Courts and Courts).	Act, titled, Acts Amen or remove and replace lawful consent of the by the inclusion of the	dment and Her Majes People nor Supreme	Repeal (Courts and Legal sty Queen Elizabeth II, Her Heirs, the knowledge of the People Court Act 1935 WA into Part 8 of
84		15	
	Act or Regulation	on No	Section or Clause (Full Ref)
☐ State ☑ Act ☐ Other- Specify			
☑Cwealth ☐ Reg	Criminal Code A	ct 1995	Section 80.1(1)(d)
Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you mu	ust go if you are on bail)	☑ Indic	table Offence (You must go to Court)
The defendant during the period 1st January Australia did commit the offence of treason agreeing to the enactment of the Overt Art 2003 WA, the purpose was to remove Successors and Her Subjects without law The defendant did consent to the Treason the Acts Amendment and Repeal (Courts)	ct, titled, Acts Amendi e and replace Her Maj rful consent of the Pec n by the inclusion of th	esty Queen ople nor the ne Supreme	n Elizabeth II, Her Heirs, Mer e knowledge of the People e Court Act 1935 WA into Part 8 of A
□ State □ Act □ Other-Specify	Act or Regulat	ion No	Section or Clause (Full Ref)
3.40	Criminal Code	kct 1995	Section 80.1(1)(h)
☑ C'wealth ☐ Reg ☐ Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you n	nust go if you are on bail)	☑ Ind	ictable Offence (You must go to Court
Are there more charges? ☑ Yes ☐ No			
Informant Brian W Shaw			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Agency and Address 280 Leakes Road Truganina Victoria			Phone No 9 93941116
Informant Signature		Registra: Signature	
Charge filed at MELBOURNE	G RAME S S		·

Revised 05/01

VP. Form 402

Continuation of Charges

ing this with you to oour			Page No 3
The defendant during the period 1st Januar Australia has concealed Treason from the Fithe Acts Amendment and Repeal (Courts a Law concealment of Treason (Misprison of The defendant did consent to the Treason the Acts Amendment and Repeal (Courts a	nd Legal Practice) Act 2 Treason)	2003 WA, Supreme C	present time at Perth Western Overt Act of Treason, specifically, creates the offence of Common Court Act 1935 WA into Part 8 of
	Act or Regulation	No	Section or Clause (Full Ref)
State 🗆 Act 🗹 Other- Specify	Common Law	,	Concealment of Treason
C'wealth Reg Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you mu	st go if you are on bail) 고	Indict	able Offence (You must go to Court)
The defendant did consent to the Treason the Acts Amendment and Repeal (Courts			et et
	Act or Regulation	n No	Section or Clause (Full Ref)
☐ State ☐ Act ☐ Other- Specify	Criminal Code Ac		Section 80.1(2)(a)
☑ C'wealth □ Reg			ctable Offence (You must go to Cour
Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you n Are there more charges? Yes No	mer 80 ii 300 mio oii omiy		
Informant Brian W Shaw			hans No.
Agency and Address 280 Leakes Road Truganina Victoria	* , "	0	hone No 9 93941116
Informant Signature		Registrar Signature	
Charge filed at MELBOURNE	*		-

ng this with you to Court				Page No 4
erson Charged		unive e	f the pro-	ent time at Perth Western
The defendant during the period 1st Januar Australia did agree to receive property (the & Superannuation) for personal gain, plus is agreement and understanding that they will Amendment and Repeal (Courts and Lega Commonwealth plus Territories, additionall offences The defendant did consent by the inclusion Amendment and Repeal (Courts and Lega	the former Governor of the compound and condition of the Supreme Countries of the Supreme Countr	of West ceal the VA, suc abstained	ern Austr Overt Ac h act is a ed from a	ralia (Mr Sanderson) upon the ct of Treason, the Acts against the Law of the my prosecution for such
				ection or Clause (Full Ref)
State Act Other-Specify	Act or Regulation	ON NO	3	ection of circus (
	Crimes Act 1	914	No.	Section 44
C'wealth Reg	t and the grant arm on half		ndictable	Offence (You must go to Court
Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you mu The defendant during the period 1st Janu	Tat do u Aon sus ou pant			
Amendment and Repeal (Courts and Leg	a a			
State ZAct Other-Specify	Act or Regula	tion No		Section or Clause (Full Ref)
Joint Line	Crimes Act	1914		Section 43(1)
☑ Cwealth ☐ Reg	must so if you are no ball)	₂	Indictab	le Offence (You must go to Col
Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you n	Must go it you allo on barry		L	
Are there more charges? ☑ Yes ☐ No	1 4			
informant	102			X
Brian W Shaw Agency and Address	7		Phon	
280 Leakes Road Truganina Victoria	*		09 93	941116
		Regis		
Informant				
Informant Signature		Sign	ature	
		Sign	ature	8

Person Charged The defendant during the period 1st January 2004 up to and inclusion and inclusion of the Supreme Court of Public Others, to defeat the course of justice in relation to the Judicial Pothers, to defeat the course of justice in relation to the Judicial Pother Specifical Pother Specifical Practice) Act 2003 W Amendment and Repeal (Courts and Legal Practice) Act 2003 W Crimes Act 19 Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you must go if you are on ball) The defendant at Perth Western Australia during the period of 1st present time did conspire with the former Governor of Western A Director of Public Prosecutions, Mr Damian Bugg with the intent person, specifically, Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, Her Heirs, I The defendant did consent by the inclusion of the Supreme Court Amendment and Repeal (Courts and Legal Practice) Act 2003 V	wer of t Act 193 A No 14 Ind t Janua australia of disho der Suc t Act 19	Section or Clause (Full Ref) Section 42.(1) dictable Offence (You must go to Court ary 2004, up to and inclusive of the a, Mr Sanderson, plus Commonwealth conestly obtaining a gain from another accessors and "Her Subjects"
Crimes Act 19 C'wealth Reg Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you must go if you are on ball) The defendant at Perth Western Australia during the period of 1s present time did conspire with the former Governor of Western A Director of Public Prosecutions, Mr Damian Bugg with the intent person, specifically, Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, Her Heirs, I The defendant did consent by the inclusion of the Supreme Court	Industralia of dishoder Suc t Act 19	Section 42.(1) dictable Offence (You must go to Court ary 2004, up to and inclusive of the a, Mr Sanderson, plus Commonwealth onestly obtaining a gain from another occessors and "Her Subjects"
Crimes Act 19 Cwestth Reg Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you must go if you are on ball) The defendant at Perth Western Australia during the period of 1s present time did conspire with the former Governor of Western A Director of Public Prosecutions, Mr Damian Bugg with the intent person, specifically, Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, Her Heirs, I The defendant did consent by the inclusion of the Supreme Court	Industralia of dishoder Suc t Act 19	Section 42.(1) dictable Offence (You must go to Court ary 2004, up to and inclusive of the a, Mr Sanderson, plus Commonwealth onestly obtaining a gain from another occessors and "Her Subjects"
☐ Cwealth ☐ Reg ☐ Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you must go if you are on ball) ☐ ☐ The defendant at Perth Western Australia during the period of 1s present time did conspire with the former Governor of Western A Director of Public Prosecutions, Mr Damian Bugg with the intent person, specifically, Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, Her Heirs, I The defendant did consent by the inclusion of the Supreme Court	Ind t Janua sustralia of disho ler Suc t Act 19	dictable Offence (You must go to Court ary 2004, up to and inclusive of the a, Mr Sanderson, plus Commonwealth onestly obtaining a gain from another occessors and "Her Subjects"
Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you must go if you are on ball) The defendant at Perth Western Australia during the period of 1s present time did conspire with the former Governor of Western A Director of Public Prosecutions, Mr Damian Bugg with the intent person, specifically, Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, Her Heirs, I The defendant did consent by the inclusion of the Supreme Court	t Janua ustralia of disho ler Suc t Act 19	ary 2004, up to and inclusive of the a, Mr Sanderson, plus Commonwealth onestly obtaining a gain from another accessors and "Her Subjects"
The defendant at Perth Western Australia during the period of 1s present time did conspire with the former Governor of Western A Director of Public Prosecutions, Mr Damian Bugg with the intent person, specifically, Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, Her Heirs, I The defendant did consent by the inclusion of the Supreme Coul	of disho ler Suc t Act 19	onestly obtaining a gain from another occasors and "Her Subjects"
present time did conspire with the former Governor or Western A Director of Public Prosecutions, Mr Damian Bugg with the intent person, specifically, Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, Her Heirs, I The defendant did consent by the inclusion of the Supreme Coul	of disho ler Suc t Act 19	onestly obtaining a gain from another occasors and "Her Subjects"
	1/2/20	×
	n Ma	Section or Clause (Full Ref)
☐ State ☑ Act ☐ Other- Specify Act or Regulation	34	
☐ Cwealth ☐ Reg		
	i In	ndictable Offence (You must go to Cour
Are there more charges?		
Informant		
Brian W Shaw Agency and Address	14.0	Phone No
280 Leakes Road Truganina Victoria		09 93941116
	Registra Signatu	
Charge filed at MELBOURNE		

				(2)		Page No	
Person Charged	t Perth Western Australia	during the period of	1st Jan	uary 2	004, up to	and inclus	ive of the
present time did Director of Public person, specifica	t Perth Western Australia conspire with the former of c Prosecutions, Mr Damia ally, Her Majesty Queen E lid consent by the inclusion Repeal (Courts and Lega	n Bugg with the intellizabeth II, Her Heirs n of the Supreme Co	nt of dis , Her S ourt Act	shones uccess	itly obtaini	ng a gain fi Her Subjec	rom another :ts*
Amendmentan	Tropodi (codino di la	: ia					
							*
			*				
		10					
		Demulai	tion No	-Т	Section	n or Claus	e (Full Ref)
State 🖸 Act	Other- Specify	Act or Regulat	HOH NO	'	OGGIOI	,, 0, 0,440	- (
30010			4 ~ 4 4 O.		Se	ction 135.	4 (1)(a)
]Cwealth □Reg		Criminal Code	ACT 198	95	36	CUOII 1001	**(*)(=)
		ust so if you are on half)		Indict	able Offer	nce (You mu	ust go to Court
] Summary Offence (Y	ou should go to Court but you m	USE DO IL ADDI THE OIL DRILL		1			
	at Perth Western Australia				0004 4	le and inclu	eive of the
Director of Publithe former Gov	d conspire with others, spages" of Western Australia, lic Prosecutions (CTH) Mi ernor of Western Australia person, specifically the F	a, Mr Sanderson and Deople of the Commo	others	with 1	he intenti	ion of disho	nestly causin
Director of Publithe former Gov a loss to a third Elizabeth II, He The defendant Amendment an	lic Prosecutions (CTH) Mi ernor of Western Australia person, specifically the F er Heirs, Her Successors a did consent by the inclusi and Repeal (Courts and Leg	a, Mir Sanderson and People of the Commo and "Her Subjects" on of the Supreme C	others onwealth ourt Ac	h (Sub	the intenti jects) plus i WA into	ion of disho s Her Majes Part 8 of th	onestly causin sty Queen
Director of Publithe former Gov a loss to a third Elizabeth II, He The defendant Amendment an	lic Prosecutions (CTH) Mi ernor of Western Australia person, specifically the F er Heirs, Her Successors a did consent by the inclusi	Act or Regula	others nwealth	h (Sub)	the intenti jects) plus i WA into	ion of disho is Her Majes Part 8 of th	onestly causing sty Queen ie Acts
Director of Publithe former Govia loss to a third Elizabeth II, He The defendant Amendment an	lic Prosecutions (CTH) Mi ernor of Western Australia person, specifically the F er Heirs, Her Successors a did consent by the inclusi and Repeal (Courts and Leg	a, Mr Sanderson and People of the Commo and "Her Subjects" on of the Supreme C gal Practice) Act 200	others nwealth	h (Sub)	the intenti jects) plus i WA into	ion of disho s Her Majes Part 8 of th	onestly causing sty Queen ie Acts
Director of Publithe former Govia loss to a third Elizabeth II, He The defendant Amendment and State Act	lic Prosecutions (CTH) Mi ernor of Western Australia person, specifically the F er Heirs, Her Successors a did consent by the inclusi and Repeal (Courts and Leg	Act or Regula	others nwealth court Ac 3 WA	i, with the (Sub)	the intenti jects) plus i WA into	ion of dishos Her Majes Part 8 of the	onestly causing sty Queen ie Acts se (Full Ref) 5.4(3)(a)
Director of Publithe former Govia loss to a third Elizabeth II, He The defendant Amendment and State Act	lic Prosecutions (CTH) Mi ernor of Western Australia person, specifically the F er Heirs, Her Successors a did consent by the inclusi and Repeal (Courts and Leg	Act or Regula	others nwealth court Ac 3 WA	i, with the (Sub)	the intenti jects) plus i WA into	ion of dishos Her Majes Part 8 of the	onestly causing sty Queen ie Acts
Director of Publithe former Govia loss to a third Elizabeth II, He The defendant Amendment and State Act	lic Prosecutions (CTH) Milernor of Western Australia I person, specifically the Fer Heirs, Her Successors a did consent by the inclusi and Repeal (Courts and Leg Other-Specify You should go to Court but your	Act or Regula	others nwealth court Ac 3 WA	i, with the (Sub)	the intenti jects) plus i WA into	ion of dishos Her Majes Part 8 of the	onestly causing sty Queen ie Acts se (Full Ref) 5.4(3)(a)
Director of Publithe former Govia loss to a third Elizabeth II, He The defendant Amendment and State	lic Prosecutions (CTH) Milernor of Western Australia I person, specifically the Fer Heirs, Her Successors a did consent by the inclusi and Repeal (Courts and Leg Other-Specify You should go to Court but your	Act or Regula	others nwealth court Ac 3 WA	lo lo lo lo	Sections:	ion of dishos Her Majes Part 8 of the	onestly causing sty Queen ie Acts se (Full Ref) 5.4(3)(a)
Director of Publithe former Govia loss to a third Elizabeth II, He The defendant Amendment and State	lic Prosecutions (CTH) Milernor of Western Australia I person, specifically the Fer Heirs, Her Successors a did consent by the inclusi and Repeal (Courts and Leg Other-Specify You should go to Court but you r ges? Yes \(\sum \) No	Act or Regula	others nwealth court Ac 3 WA	in with the (Sub)	Sections:	ion of dishos Her Majes Part 8 of the on or Claus Section 12:	onestly causing sty Queen ie Acts se (Full Ref) 5.4(3)(a)
Director of Publithe former Govia loss to a third Elizabeth II, He The defendant Amendment and Amendment and State	lic Prosecutions (CTH) Milernor of Western Australia I person, specifically the Fer Heirs, Her Successors a did consent by the inclusi and Repeal (Courts and Leg Other-Specify You should go to Court but you r ges? Yes \(\sum \) No	Act or Regula	others nwealth court Ac 3 WA	in with the (Sub)	Sections:	ion of dishos Her Majes Part 8 of the on or Claus Section 12:	onestly causing sty Queen ie Acts se (Full Ref) 5.4(3)(a)
Director of Public the former Govia loss to a third Elizabeth II, He The defendant Amendment and Amendment and State	lic Prosecutions (CTH) Milernor of Western Australia I person, specifically the Fer Heirs, Her Successors a did consent by the inclusi and Repeal (Courts and Leg Other-Specify You should go to Court but your ges? Yes \(\sum \) No	Act or Regula	others nwealth ourt Ac 3 WA ation N	in with the (Sub)	Sections:	ion of dishos Her Majes Part 8 of the on or Claus Section 12:	onestly causing sty Queen ie Acts se (Full Ref) 5.4(3)(a)
Director of Publithe former Govia loss to a third Elizabeth II, He The defendant Amendment and Amendment and State	lic Prosecutions (CTH) Milernor of Western Australia I person, specifically the Fer Heirs, Her Successors a did consent by the inclusi and Repeal (Courts and Leg Other-Specify You should go to Court but your ges? Yes \(\sum \) No	Act or Regula	others nwealth ourt Ac 3 WA ation N	in with (Sub) at 1935	Sections Sec	ion of dishos Her Majes Part 8 of the on or Claus Section 12:	onestly causing sty Queen ie Acts se (Full Ref) 5.4(3)(a)
Director of Publithe former Govia loss to a third Elizabeth II, He The defendant Amendment and State Act State Act Cwealth Reg Summary Offence (Are there more charged informant Brian W Shaw Agency and Address Road informant	lic Prosecutions (CTH) Milernor of Western Australia I person, specifically the Fer Heirs, Her Successors a did consent by the inclusi and Repeal (Courts and Leg Other-Specify You should go to Court but your ges? Yes \(\sum \) No	Act or Regula	others nwealth ourt Ac 3 WA ation N	is with (Sub) at 1935 lo	Sections Sec	ion of dishos Her Majes Part 8 of the on or Claus Section 12:	onestly causing sty Queen ie Acts se (Full Ref) 5.4(3)(a)

		Page No 7
Person Charged The defendant at Perth Western Australia	a during the period of 1st Janua	ry 2004, up to and inclusive of the
The defendant at Perth Western Australian present time with intent did dishonestly in Commonwealth Director of Public Prose	nfluence a Public Official, specificultions, in the exercise of his Official o	ically Mr Damian Bugg, fficial duties as a Public Official
6 g		
¥.		90 E
	Act or Regulation No	Section or Clause (Full Ref)
State Act Other-Specify		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
C'wealth Reg	Criminal Code Act 1995	
Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you	must go if you are on bail)	dictable Offence (You must go to Cour
The defendant at Perth Western Austra		Lindustry of the
	egal Practice) Act 2003 WA	97 98
	35. 15	
	,e	Section or Clause (Full Ref)
	Act or Regulation No	
□ State ☑ Act □ Other-Specify ☑ Cwealth □ Reg	Act or Regulation No Criminal Code Act 199	5 Section 142.2(1)(b)(ii)
	Act or Regulation No Criminal Code Act 199	5 Section 142.2(1)(b)(ii)
□ State ☑ Act □ Other-Specify ☑ C'wealth □ Reg	Act or Regulation No Criminal Code Act 199	5 Section 142.2(1)(b)(ii)
☐ State ☑ Act: ☐ Other- Specify ☑ C'wealth ☐ Reg ☐ Summary Offence (You should go to Court but yo Are there more charges? ☑ Yes ☐ No Informant	Act or Regulation No Criminal Code Act 199	Section 142.2(1)(b)(ii) Indictable Offence (You must go to Col
☐ State ☑ Act: ☐ Other- Specify ☑ C'wealth ☐ Reg ☐ Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you have there more charges? ☑ Yes ☐ No Informant Brian W Shaw Agency and Address	Act or Regulation No Criminal Code Act 199	Section 142.2(1)(b)(ii) Indictable Offence (You must go to Col
☐ State ☑ Act ☐ Other- Specify ☑ C'wealth ☐ Reg ☐ Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you have there more charges? ☑ Yes ☐ No Informant Brian W Shaw	Act or Regulation No Criminal Code Act 199 nu must go if you are on bail)	Section 142.2(1)(b)(ii) Indictable Offence (You must go to Cou Phone No 09 93941116
☐ State ☐ Act ☐ Other- Specify ☐ Cwealth ☐ Reg ☐ Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you have there more charges? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Informant ☐ Brian W Shaw ☐ Agency and Address ☐ 280 Leakes Road Truganina Victoria ☐ Informant ☐ Informant	Act or Regulation No Criminal Code Act 199 u must go if you are on bail)	Phone No 09 93941116
☐ State ☑ Act ☐ Other- Specify ☑ Cwealth ☐ Reg ☐ Summary Offence (You should go to Court but you have there more charges? ☑ Yes ☐ No Informant Brian W Shaw Agency and Address 280 Leakes Road Truganina Victoria	Act or Regulation No Criminal Code Act 199 nu must go if you are on bail)	Section 142.2(1)(b)(ii) Indictable Offence (You must go to Cou Phone No 09 93941116

erson Charged The defendant at Perth W	والطورية	during the period of	1st Janu	uary 200	4, up to and inclusive of t	he Siet
The defendant at Perth W present time did attempt to	estern Australia	Crown of England	ov uniaw	ful and il	legal means in legal con	TIICL
present time did attempt to and breach of the Act of S	o succeed to the	U by agreement to	the ena	actment (of the Acts Amendment a	inu
					*	
Repeal (Courts and Legal	Practice) Act 20	U3 VVA			*	
(Achom (-					* £	
					2.00	
					■ §	
	34					
					Section or Clause (Full	Ref)
		Act or Regula	ition No		Section of circus (-
State Act Other-S	Specify	i.		1	,	
		Common	Law		**	
Cwealth Reg					ole Offence (You must go	to Cour
Summary Offence (You should s	no to Court but you m	ust go if you are on bail)	Ð	Indictat	Sie Offende (100 most 30	
Summary Offence (100 should)	80 (0 65511 5-3)					£ blace
		twing the period	of 1st Ja	nuary 20	004, up to and inclusive of illegal means in legal of the Acts Amendment and	rune
(Courts and Legal Pract						
			ş .		ę	
			s *		2 s	
			g		£ 2 2	
			e -		€ 2 × 2 × 3	
	w.		2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			g		Section or Clause (F	ull Ref)
	Specific	Act or Regu	ulation N	No I	Section or Clause (Fi	ull Ref)
□ Starte ☑ Act □ Other	r- Specify		*	No	Section or Clause (Fi	ull Ref
□ State ☑ Act □ Other	r- Specify	Act or Regu	*	No	Section or Clause (Fi	ull Ref
LI State Line	r- Specify		*		** **	
☐ Cwealth ☐ Reg		Commo	n Law		** **	
☐ Cwealth ☐ Reg		Commo	n Law		Section or Clause (Fi	
☐ C'wealth ☐ Reg ☐ Summary Offence (You should	id go to Court but you	Commo	n Law		** **	
☐ Cwealth ☐ Reg	id go to Court but you	Commo	n Law		** **	
☐ C'wealth ☐ Reg ☐ Summary Offence (You should Are there more charges? ☐	id go to Court but you	Commo	n Law		** **	
☐ C'wealth ☐ Reg ☐ Summary Offence (You should Are there more charges? ☐ Informant	id go to Court but you	Commo	n Law	Indict	able Offence (You must g	
Cwealth Reg Summary Offence (You should Are there more charges? Informant Brian W Shaw	id go to Court but you] Yes [] No	Commo	n Law	Indict	able Offence (You must g	
Cwealth Reg Summary Offence (You should Are there more charges? Informant Brian W Shaw	id go to Court but you] Yes [] No	Commo	n Law	Indict	able Offence (You must g	
Cwealth Reg Summary Offence (You should Are there more charges? Informant Brian W Shaw	id go to Court but you] Yes [] No	Commo	in Law	Indict	able Offence (You must g	
☐ Cwealth ☐ Reg ☐ Summary Offence (You show Are there more charges? ☐ Informant Brian W Shaw Agency and Address 280 Leakes Road Trugan	id go to Court but you] Yes [] No	Commo	n Law	Ph 09 gistrar	able Offence (You must g	
☐ Cwealth ☐ Reg ☐ Summary Offence (You should be a sh	id go to Court but you] Yes [] No	Commo	n Law	Indict	able Offence (You must g	
☐ Cwealth ☐ Reg ☐ Summary Offence (You show Are there more charges? ☐ Informant Brian W Shaw Agency and Address 280 Leakes Road Trugan	id go to Court but you] Yes [] No	Commo	n Law	Ph 09 gistrar	able Offence (You must g	
☐ Cwealth ☐ Reg ☐ Summary Offence (You should be a sh	id go to Court but you] Yes [] No	Commo	n Law	Ph 09 gistrar	able Offence (You must g	

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA FULL COURT; CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

No:

of 2006

IN THE MATTER of the Crimes Act 1958

And

IN THE MATTER of an Application by

Brian William Shaw

APPLICATION FOR GRAND JURY

of 2006 at am by the Applicant for the following Orders pursuant to Section 354 of the Crimes Act 1958, the Applicant having disclosed Indictable offence/offences involving Treason, Misprison of Treason, Foreign Allegiances, Unlawful Oaths, but not limited to these Indictable Offences committed by:

Damian John BUGG (1)

Director Public Prosecutions Commonwealth

1. The Sheriff is ordered to summons a Grand Jury to appear at a Court to be holden at a time and place determined by the Court in accordance with the provision of Section 354 of the Crimes Act 1958 (Vic) to attend at such Court at that time and place to inquire present do and execute all things which on the part of "the Queen" shall then and there be commanded of them.

NO I.

LODGED 15 DECEMBEL 2006 MS

- COR A FRONT PROV ONM

119

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA FULL COURT; CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

No:

of 2006

IN THE MATTER of the Crimes Act 1958

And

IN THE MATTER of an Application by

Brian William Shaw

APPLICATION FOR GRAND JURY

TAKE NOTICE that the Full Court of the Supreme Court will be moved on the Day of 2006 at am by the Applicant for the following Orders pursuant to Section 354 of the Crimes Act 1958, the Applicant having disclosed Indictable offence/offences involving Treason, Misprison of Treason, Foreign Allegiances, Unlawful Oaths, but not limited to these Indictable Offences committed by:

Damian John BUGG (2)

— No 2.~

Director Public Prosecutions Commonwealth

1. The Sheriff is ordered to summons a Grand Jury to appear at a Court to be holden at a time and place determined by the Court in accordance with the provision of Section 354 of the Crimes Act 1958 (Vic) to attend at such Court at that time and place to inquire present do and execute all things which on the part of "the Queen" shall then and there be commanded of them.

No 2.
LOPORD 15 DELEMBER 2000 b Rel
- Copy of FROM PAGE ONLY.

AFFIDAVIT

Affirmed by Brian Shaw 16th April 2010

Relating to:

1	Julia Gillard -	Prime Minister
2	Rob Hulls -	Commonwealth of Australia Attorney General
3	Damian Bugg -	for the State of Victoria Director of Public Prosecutions
4	James McGinty	Commonwealth Former Attorney General
	g - 8	for the State of Western Australia

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA AT MELBOURNE BEFORE THE COURT OF APPEAL

No. 9997 of 2006

IN THE MATTER of an application pursuant to s, 21 of the Supreme Court Act 1986 BETWEEN:

BRIAN WILLIAM SHAW

Appellant

- and -

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF VICTORIA

Respondent

AFFIDAVIT

Relates to Julia Gillard, Rob Hulls, Damian Bugg, and James McGinty

Date of document:

April 2010

Filed on behalf of:

Appellant

Prepared by:

Brian William Shaw

280 Leakes Road

Tel: No 03 93941116

Truganina Victoria 3030

- I, Brian William Shaw, Farmer, 280 Leakes Road Truganina 3030, Victoria do state and affirm the following
 - 1. On 18th December 2006 I filed a Private Prosecution charge against Julia Gillard returnable to the Melbourne Magistrates Court 29th January 2007.

The Words of the Charge are:

On 1st January 2004, the Government of Western Australia at Perth, Western Australia, inclusive of the Executive Legislature and Judicial arms, in agreement with "the Commonwealth", did enact an overt Act, titled, "Acts Amendment and Repeal Courts and Legal Practice Act 2003 WA".

By such enactment an Act of Treason was committed. Such Treason has been concealed by the defendant, since the date of enactment up to and inclusive of the present date

Page 1 of 13
Margaruth Campbell
12

- 2. I state in this affidavit that Julia Gillard is an International Socialist working an International agenda to subvert and overthrow the existing Constitutions of each State of the Commonwealth inclusive of the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia
- 3. The Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia is a legally binding agreement between the people of the Commonwealth of Australia and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland under the Crown. This particular legally binding agreement was ratified by the electors of the Commonwealth of Australia by Commonwealth Referendum in accordance with section 128 of such Constitution on 6th November 1999.
- 4. Since that decision on that particular day Julia Gillard and others working for a Foreign Power have implemented a Foreign Power plot to attempt to nullify the decision of the electors so that the existing agreement could be nullified and the Foreign Power agenda substituted
- 5. I state in this affidavit that it is established law that the criminal offence of "Treason" activates by "Breach of Allegiance". The twin criminal offence of "Misprision of Treason" activates when a person conceals such discovered Treason. Both criminal offences carry Life Imprisonment defined at section 80 of the Criminal Code Act 1995 Commonwealth under the header "The Security of the Commonwealth"
- 6. I state in this affidavit that the only jurisdiction enabled to hear, determine and indict the twin offences of Treason and Misprision of Treason is Grand Jury composed of 23 electors. This legal Right is found at section 354 of the Crimes Act 1958 Victoria
- 7. I state in this affidavit that the criminal charge of Treason involving Julia Gillard heard 29th January 2007 in the Melbourne Magistrates Court originally by Chief-Magistrate Ian Gray who had to reveal to the Court that he had also been charge for concealing the discovered treason and was replaced by another Magistrate at the hearing

Page 2 of 13.

Mugauth Campbell

12.3

- 8. On the same day all of the presentments were taken over by the Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions Mr Damian Bugg and suppressed to enable the Foreign Agenda take over on the existing Constitution to continue
- 9. I state in this affidavit that Mr Damian Bugg uses his position and office to pervert the course of justice and conceal evidence. Before being elevated into his Commonwealth position Mr Bugg was the Director of Public Prosecutions at the time of the Port Arthur massacre and conceal specific facts from the people of Australia in relation to the attack on Australians on Australian soil
- 10. I state in this affidavit that Julia Gillard and others are working to overthrow the existing law of Australia and impose a Foreign Power law on all Australians.

 The others are
 - 1) The Attorney Generals of the Commonwealth
 - 2) All Judges of the High Court
 - 3) All Judges of the Supreme Court Victoria
 - 4) All Magistrates within Victoria
 - 5) All Councillors of the Werribee Council (State of Victoria)
 - 6) All Councillors of Altona Council (State of Victoria)
 - 7) The Victorian Electoral Commission (State of Victoria)
 - 8) The Commonwealth Electoral Commission
- 11. I state in this affidavit that the evidence of a Foreign Power plot on the existing law of the Commonwealth of Australia is revealed in the following Acts, all of which had to break the law to impose another law
 - 1) The purported Repeal Act of the 1855 Victorian Constitution Act (UK Act) by the Parliament of Victoria in 1975. The reality is that the 1855 Act was never repealed, because it is and remains the legal property of the Parliament of the United Kingdom, meaning in law that the Parliament of Victoria had no legal power whatsoever to repeal an Act of the United Kingdom. The offence is Fraud

Link

Page 3 of 13.
Angaleth Campbell

- 2) The purported enactment of the various Request Acts culminating in the Australia Act of 1986 under Mr R Hawke and the various State Premiers at the time was implemented without the knowledge nor consent of the Electors of the Commonwealth, that is the purported Australia Act came into Australian law and infracted on the Constitution of the Commonwealth without abiding by the legal requirement of altering and amending the Constitution which can only be done by referendum consent under section 128 of the Commonwealth Constitution Act. This was not abided by and no referendum was held
- 12. I state in this affidavit that Mr R Hawke was named by Professor Blackshield as being an Honorary Citizen of Israel in a Senate Standing Committee paper titled "Aspects of Section 44" and as such would have been unable to enter Parliament because section 44(i) of the Commonwealth Constitution would have disqualified Mr Hawke.

Section 44(i) states

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT SECTION 44 - Disqualification

Any person who:

mul R

(i) is under any acknowledgment of allegiance, obedience, or adherence to a foreign power, or is a subject or a citizen or entitled to the rights or privileges of a subject or a citizen of a foreign power; or

shall be incapable of being chosen or of sitting as a senator or a member of the House of Representatives.

13. I state in this affidavit that in the period 1999 /2000 the Attorney General for Victoria Mr Hulls introduced a Bill into the Victorian Parliament titled "Courts and Tribunals Legislation (Further Amendment) Act 2000°, the stated purpose states "The purpose of this Act is to make miscellaneous amendments to the Legal Practice Act 1996, the Magistrates' Court Act 1989, the Supreme Court Act 1986 and the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal Act 1998".

Margan Ah Campbell

- 1) PART 2-LEGAL PRACTICE ACT 1996
 - 3. Oath of allegiance no longer required In section 6(1) of the Legal Practice Act 1996, for paragraph
 - (c) substitute-
 - "(c) takes an oath of office, or makes an affirmation of office, in the form required by the Court.".

The Bill was enacted 5th September 2000 ten months after the Referendum 6th November 1999 by purported authority obtained by Mr Hawke's unlawful Australia Act (1986).

- 2) The Parliament of Victoria did commit a Primary Act of Treason (Breach of Allegiance) on 5th September 2000 and concealed such Treason from the electors.
- 3) All Officers of the Supreme Court of Victoria (Judges, Masters, Lawyers, and Law Firms) did commit a primary Act of Treason on 5th September 2000 and concealed such Treason from the electors and clients of such Officers of the Supreme Court.
- 4) The Supreme Court of Victoria has no valid Power whatsoever to nullify the "Oath of Allegiance" and substitute something else
- 14. I state in this affidavit that the Attorney General of Western Australia Mr J McGinty in the period 2003/2004 did introduce a Bill into the Parliament of Western Australia titled "Acts Amendment and Repeal Courts and Legal Practice Act 2003" enacted on 1st January 2004 by Mr J McGinty and Governor Sanderson by purported authority obtained by Mr Hawke's Australia Act (1986)
 - 1) The unlawful enactment in Western Australia attacked some 80 Acts within Western Australia and substituted the "Oath of Allegiance" and Crown without referendum consent required by section 73 of the Constitution of Western Australia and section 128 of the Commonwealth Constitution(The Superior Act)

Page 5 of 15 Margaretheamphill 126

- 2) The unlawful enactment involved all Officers of the Supreme Court of Western Australia (judges, Masters, Registrars, Lawyers and Law Firms) in a primary Act of Treason (Breach of Allegiance)
- 15. I state in this affidavit that the Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia during April 1991 did sell the Commonwealth Bank a Statutory Bank under the Constitution of the Commonwealth of Australia into the hands of Private Banks, National Bank, Westpac Bank, ANZ Bank and Others, without the knowledge or consent of the people of the Commonwealth. The Articles were lodged with The Securities Commission on 16th April 1991 to enable the Foreign Power agenda to gain absolute control of Banking within Australia
- 16. I state in this affidavit that in the State of Queensland all land titles (Crown and Freehold) have been illegally consumed into a company titled "The Brigalow Corporation" and registered in a company within the United States, without the knowledge or consent of current title holders within Queensland
 - 17. I state in this affidavit that the Criminal Charge filed against Julia Gillard and others did go to the Full Court of the Supreme Court Victoria for a Grand Jury hearing (23 Electors), but, specific Officers of the Court in an endeavour to suppress the criminal activity required to impose the Foreign Power law that is presently being imposed illegally within the States and Commonwealth of Australia have refused to hear the application. In the interim the Parliament of Victoria in collusion with the Attorney General of Victoria Mr R Hulls have purportedly abolished the Legal Right to Grand Jury in an endeavour to prevent their own indictment by Grand Jury
 - 18. I state in this affidavit that the Foreign Power seeking to impose the Foreign Power law is working in two branches
 - 1) The United Nations
 - International Freemasonry

I restate section 44(i)

Page 6 of 13
Maganthe Campbell
127

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT SECTION 44 - Disqualification

Any person who:

(i) is under any acknowledgment of allegiance, obedience, or adherence to a foreign power, or is a subject or a citizen or entitled to the rights or privileges of a subject or a citizen of a foreign power; or

shall be incapable of being chosen or of sitting as a senator or a member of the House of Representatives.

19. In relation to the criminal charge of Treason relating to Julia Gillard I state that section 44(ii) of the Commonwealth Constitution disqualified Julia Gillard COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT

SECTION 44 - Disqualification

Any person who:

(ii) is attainted of treason, or has been convicted and is under sentence, or subject to be sentenced, for any offence punishable under the law of the Commonwealth or of a State by imprisonment for one year or longer; or shall be incapable of being chosen or of sitting as a senator or a member of the House of Representatives.

- 20. I state in this affidavit that the current list of Attorney General's within the Commonwealth are:
 - 1) Robert McClelland Attorney General, Commonwealth
 - 2) John Hatzistergos Attorney General NSW
 - 3) Michael Atkinson Attorney General SA
 - 4) Cameron Dick Attorney General QLD
 - 5) Lara Giddings Attorney General TAS
 - 6) Delia Phoebe Attorney General NT
 - 7) Simon Corbell Attorney General ACT
 - 8) Charles (Christian) Porter Attorney General WA
 - 9) Rob Hulls Attorney General VIC

All are involved in this specific attack on our current Constitution

Page 7 of 13

Mayouth Campbell. 128

Link

- 21. I state in this affidavit that the current list of High Court Judges are:
 - 1) Chief Justice French (from Western Australia)
 - 2) Justice Gummow
 - 3) Justice Hayne
 - 4) Justice Heydon
 - 5) Justice Crennan
 - 6) Justice Kiefel
 - Justice Bell

All are involved in this specific attack on our current Constitution

22. I state in this affidavit that in relation to Werribee the Federal Seat for Julia Gillard all Councillors for the City of Wyndham/Werribee are currently concealing the indictable offence of treason lodged against Julia Gillard. The Councillors are:

Iramoo Ward

- 1) Councillor: Cr Heather Marcus (Mayor)
- 2) Councillor: Cr Cynthia Manson
- Councillor: Cr John Menegazzo

Truganina Ward

- 4) Councillor: Cr Kim McAliney, JP (Deputy Mayor)
- 5) Councillor: Cr Bob Fairclough
- 6) Councillor: Cr Glenn Goodfellow

Chaffey Ward

- 7) Councillor: Cr Shane Bourke, JP
- 8) Councillor: Cr Mark Rose
- 9) Councillor: Cr Adele De Crescenzo
- 23. I state in this affidavit that in relation to the city of Hobsons Bay, Altona Victoria all Councillors for Hobsons Bay are currently concealing the indictable offence for the Federal Member Julia Gillard the Councillors are:
 - 1) Bill Tehan (Mayor)
 - 2) Luba Grigorovitch
 - 3) John Hogg
 - 4) Angela Altair

Mugarith Cample

- 5) Tony Briffa (Deputy Mayor)
- 6) Michael Raffoul
- 7) Peter Hemphill
- 24. I state in this affidavit that in relation to the current Senators for the State of Victoria, all State Senators are currently concealing the criminal charge against Julia Gillard. Such charge remains pending Grand Jury work The current Victorian Senators are:
 - 1) Kim Carr
 - 2) Jacinta Collins
 - 3) Stephen Conroy
 - 4) David Feeney
 - 5) Steve Fielding
 - 6) Mitch Fifield
 - 7) Helen Kroger
 - 8) Julian McGauran
 - 9) Gavin Marshall
 - 10) Michael Ronaldson
 - 11) Scott Ryan
 - 12) Judith Troeth
 - 25. I state in this affidavit that in relation to the Altona -By Election held 13th February 2010 purportedly won by Jill Hennessy, a Labor Party Member and Victorian Lawyer currently working with the "Oath of Allegiance" removed from the Legal Practice Act (Vic) with the unlawful enactment of the "Courts and Tribunals Legislation (Further Amendment) Act 2000(Vic)". Jill Hennessy and others involved with the Altona By-election have concealed and continue to conceal the criminal charge involving Julia Gillard, the current holder of the Federal seat of Lalor (Wyndham/Werribee - Altona) in the State of Victoria
 - 26. I state in this affidavit that in relation to Mr Hulls the current Attorney General for Victoria, since the Commonwealth referendum, November 1999 Mr Hulls has introduced and enacted law contrary to the agreed consent of the people and.

Page 9 of 13
Mugawith Campbell
130

contrary to the Constitutions of both Victoria and the Commonwealth using the purported authority obtained after Mr Hawke's unlawful Australia Act 1986

- 27. I state in this affidavit that Mr Hulls has sought from and obtained from the Victorian Parliament purported law to abolish the legal Right to place private prosecution matters before Grand Jury (23 Electors) within Victoria . Mr Hulis and others have attempted to do this in an endeavour to prevent Grand Juries indicting their criminal attacks against the people of Victoria /Australia and their respective Constitutions on behalf of a Foreign Power
- 28. I state in this affidavit that the following individuals are defendants still pending Grand Jury hearing because of this all Applications were lodged in the period

200	3 /2007	18 S	ėl
1)	Mr John Winneke	Former President Court of Appeal (Vic)	
2)	Mr Brooking	Judge Court of Appeal (Vic)	
3)	Mr Charles	Judge Court of Appeal (Vic)	
4)	Mr Buchanan	Judge Court of Appeal (Vic)	
5)	Mr Chernov	Judge Court of Appeal (Vic)	
6)	Mr. Charles Wheeler	Former Master Supreme Court (Vic)	
·		(2 Applications)	
7)	Mr Paul Coghlan	Former Director of Public Prosecutions (Vic)
ĺ	1.5	Currently a Judge of the Victoria Supreme C	Cot
8)	Mr Phillip Cain	Registrar Court of Appeal (Vic)	
8)	Mr Phillip Cain	Registrar Court of Appeal (Vic)	

Director of Public Prosecutions Commonwealth 9) Mr Damian Bugg CEO ANZ Trustees 10) Mr David Ward Director/Partner, Harwood Andrews 11) Mr James Rutherford Lawyers Geelong

Master Supreme Court (Vic) 12) Mr Ewan Evans Judge Supreme Court (Vic) 13) Mr Thomas Smith Master Supreme Court (Vic) 14) Kathryn Kings Former Judge High Court of Australia.

15) Mr M Kirby Former Judge High Court of Australia 16) Mr Ian Callinan

Former Attorney General Western Australia 17) Mr James McGinty

Former Prime Minister 18) John Howard

Margareth Campbell

Court

19) Kim Beazley

Former Leader of the Opposition

(Commonwealth)

20) Michael Jeffery

Former Governor General (Commonwealth)

21) Philip Ruddock

Former Attorney General

Commonwealth of Australia

22) Sydney James Stirling Attorney General Northern Territory

23) Michael Atkinson

Attorney-General South Australia

24) Kerry Shine

Former Attorney General Queensland

25) Rob Justin Hulls,

Current Attorney-General Victoria

26) Simon Corbell,

Attorney General ACT

27) Steve Kous,

Attorney General Tasmania

28) Robert John Debus,

Attorney-General NSW

29) Audrey Gillian Braddock

Supreme Court WA (Commissioner)

30) Wayne Stewart Martin

Supreme Court WA (Chief Justice)

31) Christine Ann Wheeler

Supreme Court WA

32) Christopher David Steytler

Supreme Court WA

33) Christopher James Lonsdale Pullin

Supreme Court WA

34) John Roderick McKechnie

Supreme Court WA

35) Michael John Buss

Supreme Court W.A.

36) Corryn Rayney

Supreme Court WA (Murdered)

37) Robert Cock QC

Director of Public Prosecutions WA

38) Darren W L Renton

Commonwealth DPP, WA

39) Robert MacKenzie Mitchell

State Solicitor's Office WA

40) John James Mansell Bowler

Minister Mining (Now Independent)

41) Mr J Maley

Grand Master, WA Freemasons

42) C Randazzo

Melbourne Magistrates Court (Stood Down)

43) Mr Ian Leslie Gray,

Chief Magistrate, Magistrates Court of Victoria

44) Mr Malcolm Macleod,

Southwest Christian Church Werribee (Pastor)

45) Mr Max Bower,

Anglican Church Werribee (Priest)

46) John Dyson Heydon

Justice (High Court)

47) William Montague Charles Gummow Justice (High Court)

48) Anthony Murray Gleeson

Justice (High Court)

49) Susan Maree Crennam

Justice (High Court)

50) Kenneth Madison Hayne

Justice (High Court)

Mayouth Campbull

51) Rob Hulls

Attorney General Victoria

52) Julia Gillard

(MP) Werribee Victoria (current Deputy Prime Minister)

53) Dodds-Streeton

Judge Court of Appeal (Vic)

54) Justice Nettle

Judge Court of Appeal (Vic)

29. I state the whole of section 44 of the Commonwealth Constitution here COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT SECT 44 - Disqualification Any person who:

- (i) is under any acknowledgment of allegiance, obedience, or adherence to a foreign power, or is a subject or a citizen or entitled to the rights or privileges of a subject or a citizen of a foreign power; or
- (ii) is attainted of treason, or has been convicted and is under sentence, or subject to be sentenced, for any offence punishable under the law of the Commonwealth or of a State by imprisonment for one year or longer; or
- (iii) is an undischarged bankrupt or insolvent; or
- (tv) holds any office of profit under the Crown, or any pension payable during the pleasure of the Crown out of any of the revenues of the Commonwealth; or
- (v) has any direct or indirect pecuniary interest in any agreement with the Public Service of the Commonwealth otherwise than as a member and in common with the other members of an incorporated company consisting of more than twenty-five persons;

shall be incapable of being chosen or of sitting as a senator or a member of the House of Representatives.

Mugaudh Camp

30. I state section 80 of the Commonwealth Constitution here

COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT SECT 80 - Trial by jury

The trial on indictment of any offence against any law of the Commonwealth shall be by jury, and every such trial shall be held in the State where the offence was committed, and if the offence was not committed within any State the trial shall be held at such place or places as the Parliament prescribes.

Affirmed by

Brian Shaw
At At FRI Relin the State of Victoria

This / 6 Day of April, 2010 Before me Mayauth Campbell

A JUSTICE OF THE PEACE FOR VICTORIA Reg. No. 9924

Margaret May Campbell

7 Muirhead Cres, Warribee 3030

Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 Preamble

WHEREAS the people of New South Wales, Victoria, South Australia, Queensland, and Tasmania, humbly relying on the blessing of Almighty God, have agreed to unite in one indissoluble Federal Commonwealth under the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, and under the Constitution hereby established:

And whereas it is expedient to provide for the admission into the Commonwealth of other Australasian Colonies and possessions of the Queen:

Be it therefore enacted by the Queen's most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

Parliament of Australia

Standing Order 50 - Prayer

Almighty God, we humbly beseech Thee to vouchsafe Thy special blessing upon this Parliament, and that Thou wouldst be pleased to direct and prosper the work of Thy servants to the advancement of Thy glory, and to the true welfare of the people of Australia.

Our Father, which art in Heaven, Hallowed be Thy name. Thy kingdom come. Thy will be done in earth, as it is in Heaven. Give us this day our daily bread. And forgive us our trespasses, as we forgive them that trespass against us. And lead us not into temptation; but deliver us from evil: For thine is the kingdom, and the power, and the glory, for ever and ever. Amen.

www.elijahschallenge.net

The title of the above website originates from the Old Testament passage (1 Kings 18:21) where Elijah came to the people and made the following challenge

"How long halt ye between two opinions?"

Email:

brianshaw@elijahschallenge.net

Postal Address:

Po Box 800 Werribee

Victoria 3030