Researcy 10 May 2013

## SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA

## **COURT OF APPEAL**

S APCI 2013 0043

BRIAN WILLIAM SHAW

**Applicant** 

v

ANZ EXECUTORS & TRUSTEE COMPANY LTD (AS TRUSTEES OF THE ESTATE OF JOHN WILLIAM SHAW, DECEASED)

Respondent

JUDGES:

NETTLE and NEAVE JJA

WHERE HELD:

**MELBOURNE** 

DATE OF HEARING:

10 May 2013

DATE OF JUDGMENT:

10 May 2013

## APPLICATION ON SUMMONS

APPEARANCES:

Counsel

Solicitors

For the Applicant

Appeared in person

For the Respondent

Mr R C Wells

Aitken Partners

## NETTLE JA:

1

2

3

4

This is an application for leave to appeal against interlocutory orders of Habersberger J.

In addition to the written submissions advanced by the applicant in support of the application, the applicant has today also raised, orally, two further matters for consideration. First, he contended that I am biased and should not sit on the application because I sat as a member of this Court in two previous appeals in other matters in which he was a litigant. Secondly, he has applied *ore tenus* for removal of all matters at present before this Court to the High Court pursuant to s 40 of the *Judiciary Act 1903* (Cth).

In the circumstances, we think that we should reserve our decision in order to consider the arguments advanced by the applicant, both in his extensive written outlines and affidavits and also today in the course of his oral submissions.

Bearing in mind, however, the nature of the matter and its history, we shall strive to be in a position to give judgment at some time during the next week, of which time the parties will be notified in advance.